



Copper Pollution Parameters in Some Iraqi Soils

Samira Nasir Hasoon Al-Hasoon^{1*} , Abdul Sattar Jubair Zaben Al-Hayani² , Mohammed Ali Jamal Al-Obaidi³ 

¹ Department of Combat Desertification, College of Agricultural Engineering Science University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

² Department of Environment Technology, College Environment Science and Technology University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq.

³ Department of Soil sciences and Water Resources, College of Agriculture and Forestry University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq

Article information

Received: 16- Apr -2024

Revised: 20- May -2024

Accepted: 10- June -2024

Available online: 01- Apr – 2025

Keywords:

Igeo

Ef

Calcareous Soils

Heavy Textures

Central and Southern Iraq

Correspondence:

Name: Samira Nasir Hasoon Al-Hasoon

Email

samira.hasoon@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq

ABSTRACT

This study aims to find out the level of pollution in some agricultural land soils of areas located in central and southern Iraq (Dujail, Abu Ghraib, Hilla, Nasiriyah, Diwaniyah, Kut, Amara, and Qurna). Eight soil sample are collected for the years 2022-2023 to evaluate the degree of copper (Cu) contamination. Soil pollution is evaluated by choosing three indicators; first, is the geochemical accumulation index (Igeo); second, is the enrichment factor (EF); and third, is the contamination factor (CF). The results show that the heavily textured soil contains percentages of clay, silt, and sand ranging between (245-550), (321-500), and (90-299) g kg⁻¹ respectively. pH is alkaline, pHS (7.6-7.9), electrical conductivity, ECe (4-56) dS m⁻¹, carbonate minerals (212-375) g kg⁻¹, and low soil organic matter, (SOM) (4-11) g kg⁻¹, and the total copper content ranges from (32.08-45.50) mg kg⁻¹. The contamination factor (CF) ranges between (1.07 and 1.52), and the enrichment factor (EF) ranges from (0.46-0.65) with an average of (0.54). The results of our soil models show a decrease in enrichment factors (EF) and soil pollution. While the values of the geo-accumulation index (Igeo) ranges from (-0.36) to (-0.51). So, it is clear from these results that the soils of the study areas are moderately to highly polluted. Therefore, the diversity of geological and human sources of copper contamination in the soil of the study area is reflected by these factors.

DOI: [10.33899/earth.2024.148020.1253](https://doi.org/10.33899/earth.2024.148020.1253), ©Authors, 2025, College of Science, University of Mosul.

This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

معايير التلوث بالنحاس في بعض الترب العراقية

سميرة ناصر حسون الحسنون*¹، عبد الستار جبير زين الحياياني²، محمد علي جمال العبيدي³

¹ قسم مكافحة التصحر، كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق.

² قسم تكنولوجيا البيئة، كلية علوم البيئة والتكنولوجيا، جامعة الموصل، الموصل، العراق.

³ قسم علوم التربة والموارد المائية، كلية الزراعة والغابات، جامعة الموصل، الموصل، العراق.

المخلص	معلومات الارشفة
تهدف هذه الدراسة الى تقييم مستوى التلوث في ترب بعض المناطق الزراعية الواقعة في وسط وجنوبي العراق (النجيل وأبو غريب والحلة والناصرية والديوانية والكوت والعمارة والقرنة). تم اختيار ثلاثة نماذج للترب لعامي 2022-2023 لتقييم درجة تلوثها بالنحاس. تم اختيار ثلاثة مؤشرات لتقييم تلوث التربة؛ الأول، هو مؤشر التراكم الجيولوجي (Igeo)؛ والثاني، هو عامل الإثراء (EF)؛ والثالث، هو عامل التلوث (CF). أظهرت النتائج بأن الترب الثقيلة النسجة ذات محتوى من الطين والغرين والرمل يتراوح ما بين 245-550، و321-500، و90-299 غم كغم ⁻¹ على التوالي، والأس الهيدروجيني هو قلوي (pH) 7.9-7.6، والايصالية الكهربائية (ECe) 4-56 ديسي سيمنز م ⁻¹ ، ومعادن الكربونات 212-375 غم كغم ⁻¹ ، ومادة عضوية (SOM) منخفضة للترب 4-11 غم كغم ⁻¹ ، وأن محتوى النحاس الكلي تراوح 32.08-45.50 ملغم كغم ⁻¹ . تراوح معامل التلوث (CF) ما بين 1.07-1.52، وعامل الإثراء (EF) ما بين 0.46-0.650 بمتوسط 0.54. وبحسب عينات التربة لعامل الإثراء (EF)، فقد أشارت إلى أن ترب منطقة الدراسة منخفضة التلوث. وتراوحت قيم مؤشر التراكم الجيولوجي (Igeo) ما بين (-0.36) و (0.51)، مما يدل على أن ترب منطقة الدراسة ملوثة بدرجة متوسطة إلى عالية. وتعكس هذه النتائج المصادر المختلطة (الجيولوجية والبشرية) للتلوث بالنحاس في ترب منطقة الدراسة.	تاريخ الاستلام: 16-ابريل-2024 تاريخ المراجعة: 20-مايو-2024 تاريخ القبول: 10-يونيو-2024 تاريخ النشر الإلكتروني: 01-ابريل-2025 الكلمات المفتاحية: Igeo EF الترب الكلسية النسجة الثقيلة وسط وجنوب العراق المراسلة: الاسم: سميرة ناصر حسون الحسنون Email samira.hasoon@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq

DOI: [10.33899/earth.2024.148020.1253](https://doi.org/10.33899/earth.2024.148020.1253), ©Authors, 2025, College of Science, University of Mosul.
This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Introduction

According to Festa and Thiele (2011), soil is the leading natural source of copper (Cu), is a necessary element for all living things. The soil's parent material mainly determines the concentration of Cu in the soil. The movement of copper in the soil is not very fast, so it accumulates in the surface soil as a result of its adsorption on the mineral and organic parts (Kabata-Pendias, 2011; Poggere *et al.*, 2023). Cu contamination has been consequently linked to reports of dust fallout, mining, metal scrap, and organic waste deposits, former wood treatment sites, soil accumulation, and crop applications of Cu-based fungicides (Ghazi, 2018; Coelho *et al.*, 2020; Rosas *et al.*; 2023, Poggere *et al.*, 2023). Al-Dabbas and Abdullah (2020), Mahmmmed *et al.* (2023) and Grafkina *et al.* (2023), all discuss the biological and geographical capacities for heavy metal accumulation as a contributing factor to the problem of increased concentrations in soil. Besides is the movement inside the soil profile (Al-Hassoon *et al.*; 2019; Custodio *et al.*, 2021; Al-Hayani *et al.*, 2022). Soil minerals govern heavy metals distribution in soil profiles (Sołek-Podwika *et al.*, 2016; Al-Dabhas and Abdullh, 2020; Mahmmmed *et al.*, 2023; Grafkina *et al.*, 2023). It is possible to say that the cause of soil contamination with heavy metals is through historical human activity (Al-Sudani, 2021; Rosas *et al.*, 2023). Undoubtedly, the sources of anthropogenic pollution currently exist in agriculture, industry, and transportation that impact the accumulation of the heavy metals in soil (Custodio *et al.*, 2021; Alsaadoon *et al.*, 2023). Because heavy metals bind to dust, they can be extracted from nearby and far-off emission sources and deposited in situ or transported over great distances (Aldaini and Naser, 2020). Most of the pollutants generated by factories are discharged into the atmosphere and onto the soil surface. Most pollutants produced by

industries are discharged into the atmosphere and onto the soil surface (Amedi *et al.*, 2022; Afonne *et al.*, 2022). Natural processes can also lead to an increase in element accumulation. It is shown by Shuguang *et al.* (2015), that the major elements like Si, Al, Fe, Mg, Ca, Na, K and Ti are the essential components of the Earth crust. It is possible that the origin and composition of the parent material in these locations may have caused favorable or perhaps unfavorable conditions that led to the appearance of heavy metals. as some processes that cause natural weathering on the parent material which means it may affect the amount of parent material and heavy metals in the soil (Kierczak *et al.*, 2016).

Great attention is currently being paid to serious environmental pollution resulting from high levels of heavy metals, especially in agricultural soils around the world because of their influential role in food production, and thus food security. (Chen and Colleagues, 2015; Al-Hassoon *et al.* 2019; Al-Obaidi and Al-Abadi, 2019). Heavy metals can enter the food chain, affecting human and animal health (Pejman *et al.*, 2015 and Othman; Kakey, 2021). Therefore, according to what Therefore, according to Baran *et al.* (2018); Ibrahim *et al.* (2018); Khader *et al.* (2018) ; Khader *et al.* (2021), an appropriate assessment must be made to increase awareness as a result of the continuous expansion of industrial activities as well as environmental risks, and of the significant increase in the use of agricultural land, and thus the impact on the soil content of heavy elements (Al-Khafaji and Jalal, 2020)., as well as environmental risks, as well as the significant increase in the use of agricultural land, and thus the impact on the soil content of heavy elements (Al-Khafaji and Jalal, 2020). Heavy metal contamination can also occur in urban and agricultural areas close to pollution sources (Zhao *et al.*, 2022 and Mununga *et al.*, 2023). Therefore, we must use precise methods through which we can discover the gradual deterioration and how to stop or control it not to reach the possible maximum extent (Gonge *et al.*, 2008).

Therefore, according to Baran *et al.*, (2018) and Ibrahim *et al.* (2018), Khader *et al.*, (2018) and Khader *et al.*, (2021), an appropriate assessment must be made to increase awareness as a result of the continuous expansion of industrial activities as well as environmental risks, and of the significant increase in the use of agricultural land, and thus the impact on the soil content of heavy elements (Al-Khafaji and Jalal, 2020).

Aim of study

The aim of this study is to determine the amount of pollution in the soils of some agricultural areas located in central and southern Iraq (Dujail, Abu Ghraib, Hilla, Nasiriyah, Diwanayah, Kut, Amara, and Qurna). In order to accomplish this indicator including the enrichment factor (EF), contamination factor (CF), and geoaccumulation index (Igeo) are used to detect the pollution.

Materials and Methods

Eight samples of surface soil are collected at a depth of (0 - 0.15) m from the Iraqi alluvial plain in central and southern Iraq as shown in Figure (1). This area is characterized by sedimentary rocks mainly composed of carbonates and clastics, with subordinate gypsum, marl and shale (Sissakian and Saeed, 2012). They range in age from Eocene to Holocene. The collected soil samples are then air-dried, crushed, and sieved by a 2 mm sieve to conduct some physical and chemical analyzes as described by Ali and Salem (2012).



Fig. 1. Map of study sites

To measure total heavy metal concentrations, soil subsamples are completely dried at 105°C, ground, and passed through a 63-mesh sieve. Wet acid digestion (1HNO₃:3HCl) is used for a 1 g ground soil sample. Copper pollution is described through pollution indicators as follows (Kapata-Pendias, 2011):

1. The Contamination factor (CF)

One of the criteria expressing soil pollution can be calculated according to the following equation (Savvides *et al.*, 1995):

$$CF = C_n / C_{ref}$$

Where, C_n: Cu measured concentration (mg kg⁻¹) at the research location: According to Lindsay (2014), C_{ref}: the global average (30 mg kg⁻¹) for the measuring (Cu) concentration in the reference soil.

According to Table (1), four categories of classification analysis of soil pollution were produced by Savvides *et al.* (1995) and Pekey *et al.* (2004).

Table 1: Metal content degree contamination according to the classification of the Contamination Factor (CF).

Class	CF	Designation of sediment quality
1	≤ 1	little contamination
2	1 ≥ - < 3	moderate contamination
3	3 ≥ - < 6	obvious contamination
4	< 6	high contamination

Using this criterion, Nasr *et al.* (2006) evaluated the sediment pollution of twenty-one stations in the ports of Aden, Yemen. The study discovered that the sediments of three stations had significant contamination from websites selling the same goods. In contrast, the findings of the pollution factor values for zinc, copper, and manganese indicated that they were scarce in each site under investigation. According to Al-Wotaify and Saeed's (2017) investigation, the copper contamination coefficient varied from (0.92-1.17), indicating that heavy metal contamination of the Musayyib thermal station's soil is present in Babylon Governorate (Al-khafaji, and Jaafar, 2023).

2. The Enrichment factor (EF)

The purpose of this index is to evaluate sources of elements in the atmosphere, oceans and precipitation. Nowadays, freshwater, marine sediment and soil studies have successfully used this index (Varol, 2011; Goher *et al.*, 2014). According to Norouzi and Pourkhabaz (2014), this global index provides a reasonably easy and quick way to calculate the degree of enrichment Table 2. We can compare pollution levels across different environmental media. According to Jahan and Strezov (2018), we can also use this indicator to check if heavy metals and metalloids present in the sediments are the result of human activity. Elsewhere, there are measurable levels of lead and chromium contamination.

Table 2: Mineral enrichment levels per (EF) classification.

Classification of (EF)	Degree of (EF)
< 2	Depletion to enrichment in mineral
2 - 5	Moderate enrichment
5 - 20	Significant
20 - 40	Very high
EF > 40	Extremely high

$$EF = C_n / C_{ref}$$

Where, C_n : the Cu concentration at the research site measured in $mg\ kg^{-1}$, according to Lindsay (2014), C_{ref} : The Cu concentration in the reference environment, which is based on a global average of $70\ mg\ kg^{-1}$ for the Earth's crust.

3. Geoaccumulation (Igeo)

Muller (1969) created the geo-accumulation index (I_{geo}), which compares the current concentration of heavy metals and metalloid elements with the pre-industrial level to determine the amount of these elements present in the sediment. Therefore, many researchers from all over the world have used this indicator for the purpose of evaluating the condition of sediments due to its ease in calculation and the possibility of the results being easily understood by public and regulatory bodies (Alves *et al.*, 2018; Islam *et al.*, 2018; Rajeshkumar *et al.*, 2018). We can calculate this indicator using the following formula:

$$I_{geo} = \text{Log}_2 [C_i / (1.5 C_{ri})]$$

Where, C_{ri} : the geochemical concentration of copper ($70\ mg\ kg^{-1}$) in the Earth's crust, as in Lindsay (2014); C_i : the copper concentration in the soil under study ($mg\ kg^{-1}$). The factor 1.5 is used to account for variations in the basic value of copper as well as the effects or restricted human intake. To clarify how they are divided, Table (3) shows the divisions into seven categories according to I_{geo} indicators

Table 3: The degree of geo-accumulation (I_{geo}) classification

I_{geo}	Class	degree of I_{geo}
≤ 0	0	Uncontaminated
$0 \leq - \leq 1$	1	Uncontaminated to moderately contaminated
$1 \leq - \leq 2$	2	Moderately contaminated
$2 \leq - \leq 3$	3	Moderately to strongly contaminated

I_{geo}	Class	degree of I_{geo}
$3 \leq - \leq 4$	4	Strongly contaminated
$4 \leq - \leq 5$	5	Strongly to extremely polluted
> 6	6	Extremely polluted

Fe, Sn, Cu, Mn, Ti, Zr or Al are frequently used for normalization (Habib *et al.*, 2018; Jahan and Strizov, 2018). Normalization is crucial to distinguish between the sources of trace elements originating from natural processes and anthropogenic activities. Generally, when the enrichment factor value is near or less than 1, it indicates that natural sources, like marine or crustal environments are the primary sources of trace elements. It turns out that the enrichment factor is the most important, which indicates that human activity is the main source according to studies of Jahan and Strizov (2018), Habib *et al.* (2018) and Kafilat Adebola *et al.* (2018).

Results and Discussion

The results shown in Table (5) indicate that the values of total copper in the soil of the alluvial plain of Mesopotamia range between the lowest value of 32.08 mg kg⁻¹ in Hilla soil with a low clay content (245 g kg⁻¹) and a high content of carbonate minerals (375 g kg⁻¹) reaching the highest value of 45.50 mg kg⁻¹ in soil of Abu-Ghraib, which is characterized by a high clay content (550 g kg⁻¹) and a low content of carbonate minerals (212 g kg⁻¹).

Table 4: Some physical and chemical properties of the studied soils

Location	pHS	ECe dS m ⁻¹	CEC Cmol _c Kg ⁻¹	O.M	CaCO ₃	g kg ⁻¹			Texture
						Sand	Silt	Clay	
Qurna	7.6	33	25	5.7	270	153	407	440	Silty Clay
Amara	7.6	22	29	6.5	245	105	395	500	Clay
Kut	7.8	4	21	9.1	290	98	502	400	Silty Clay
Diwaniyah	7.7	5	20	5	278	90	500	410	Silty Clay
Nasiriyah	7.5	27	26	11	266	106	444	450	Silty Clay
Hilla	7.9	9	19	4	375	299	456	245	Loam
Abu-Ghraib	7.7	56	32	11	212	129	321	550	Clay
Dujail	7.8	13	27	8	245	135	415	450	Silty Clay

Soil samples are classified as Entisol (Soil Survey Staff, 2022) as shown in Table (4).

Table 5: Total Copper concentration in the study soil (mg Cu kg⁻¹ soil)

Location	Total copper (mg Cu kg ⁻¹ soil)
Qurna	35.20
Amara	41.40
Kut	34.25
Diwaniyah	36.90
Nasiriyah	38.00
Hilla	32.08
Abu-Ghraib	45.50
Dujail	39.20

Based on what was mentioned by (Kabata-Pendias (2011), in some countries of the world and depending on the rates suggested globally for agricultural soils, our results indicate that the total copper in the study soils is less than the maximum permissible and safe (MAC) limits (TAV) of 60-150 and 60-500 mg kg⁻¹ respectively, which does not threaten the soil environment, plants, and humans. Upon adoption of the US EPA standard (Train, 1979), which was used by Anderlini *et al.* (1982) and Al-Manssory *et al.* (2004) who have evaluated soil copper contamination based on its concentration in the soil according to the following: uncontaminated soil is less than 25, moderate is 25-50, and severely contaminated soil is more than 50 mg kg⁻¹. respectively. Many countries have taken the initiative to establish standards for evaluating soil pollution, and the standards studied are as follows: -

Contamination factor (CF)

The results in Table (6) show that the contamination factor (CF) range between 1.07 and 1.52 with an average of 1.26, so all the study soils are moderately contaminated with copper according to the contamination factor (CF) classification.

Table 6: The contamination indices (CF, EF and Igeo) for the study soil.

Location	Contamination Factor (CF)	Enrichment Factor (EF)	Geo-accumulation Index (Igeo)
Qurna	1.17	0.50	-0.47
Amara	1.38	0.59	-0.40
Kut	1.14	0.49	-0.49
Diwaniyah	1.23	0.53	-0.45
Nasiriyah	1.27	0.54	-0.44
Hilla	1.07	0.46	-0.51
Abu-Ghraib	1.52	0.65	-0.36
Dujail	1.31	0.56	-0.43
Average	1.26	0.54	-0.44

Enrichment Factor (EF)

The results shown in Table (6) show that the copper enrichment factor (EF) values for the study soils range from 0.46 to 0.65 with an average of 0.54. All the study soils are classified according to the enrichment factor (EF) values shown in Table (3), along with contamination, all the values are less than 1, which gives a clear indication of low contamination for all the study soils.

These results are consistent with what Al-Wotaify and Saeed (2017) found to evaluate soil contamination in the Musayyib thermal station in Babylon Governorate, where the copper enrichment factor range between (0.88 and 1.20).

Geo-accumulation index (Igeo)

It is clear from the results in Table (6) in the current study that the values of (Igeo) are low. The results of the EF values agree with the Igeo values in classifying the soils and the severity of their copper contamination. However, the concept of the Igeo gave wide ranges compared to the enrichment factor. Our results are consistent with Al-Wotaify and Saeed (2017) and Alhello *et al.* (2020). who evaluate the soil contamination of the Musayyib thermal station in Babylon Governorate with heavy metals. It has been found that the Igeo of copper ranges between (0.19 and 0.24).

Statistical analysis

Table (7) indicates a significant negative correlation between the three copper pollution criteria and the soil content of carbonate minerals. The reason for this can be explained by the presence of a high percentage of carbonate minerals in the soil, which corresponds to the soil's copper content, which gave a negative significant relationship, or there is a possibility of it being absorbed or deposited by the carbonate minerals.

Table 7: Simple correlation coefficient (r) between contamination factor (CF) values for copper

Soil property	Correlation coefficient (r)		
	(CF)	EF	(Igeo)
pH	0.50-	0.48-	0.50-
EC	0.65	0.63	0.64
CaCO ₃	0.21-	0.22-	0.17-
SOM	0.17-	0.20-	0.17-
CEC	0.44	0.42	0.41
Sand	0.43-	0.42-	0.45-
Silt	0.65-	0.63-	0.62-
Clay	0.84	0.83	0.84

Table (7) shows, through the results of the statistical analysis, that there is a significant negative relationship between the three values of EF and soil pH during the study perhaps the reason for this is due to the high pH value of the soil increasing the negative charge of clay

minerals and their susceptibility to copper and clay. This was demonstrated by the results of the statistical analysis of the significant correlation coefficient between the values of the enrichment factor and the clay content of the soil, which reached 0.83 during the study. In contrast, statistical analysis showed no significant correlation between the enrichment factor values and the soil content of sand and silt in Table 7. During the study, the role and importance of clay minerals in capturing and exchanging heavy elements becomes clear. The results of the study show that there is a negative significant correlation between the organic matter in the soil and the enrichment factor during the study season, and that these results were consistent with the clay content in the soil, which has mineral importance. Colloids are highlighted in retaining and enriching soils and sediments with heavy metals through negative charges of surfaces or through negative charges of functional groups (carboxylic and phenolic) present in organic materials, which form chelates with heavy metals (Al-Obaidi *et al.*, 2012; Olaniran *et al.* 2013; Mawlood and Essa, 2017; Barsova *et al.*, 2019).

Conclusion

We conclude from the current study that the contamination factor (CF) values range between (1.07 and 1.52) and the enrichment factor (EF) values range between (0.46 and 0.65), with an average of (0.54). Moreover, according to soil samples, the enrichment factor (EF) it turns out that the study area has poor soil is low in pollution. The values of the geoaccumulation Index (I_{geo}) ranged from (-0.36) to (-0.51), which indicates that the soil of the study area is moderately to highly polluted. These results reflect the mixed sources (geological and anthropogenic sources of copper in the soils of the study area (like high dose and miss use of fertilizers (organic and nonorganic), oil refinery, petrochemical industry, vehicle emissions and dust.

References

- Afonne, O.J., Chukwuka, J.U., Ifediba, E.C. and Obi, E., 2022. Pollution Indices of Heavy Metal Contaminants in Typical Agrarian Soil Samples in Ihiala, South-East Nigeria. *Health and Environment*, 3(1), pp. 176-185. <https://doi.org/10.25082/HE.2022.01.005>.
- Al-Dabbas, M.A. and Abdullah, M.A., 2020. Assessment of Soil Pollution in the Ishaqi Project Area- Salah Al-Dean Governorate, Iraq. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 61(2), pp. 382-388. <https://doi.org/10.24996/ij.s.2020.61.2.16>.
- Aldaini, L.J.K. and Naser, K.M., 2020. Evaluation of The Efficiency of Some Mineralogical and Organic Materials to Remove Some Heavy Metals from Contaminated Soil. *Plant Archives*, 20(1), pp. 915-921.
- Al-Hassoon, S.N.H., Al-Hayani, A.S.J.Z., and Al-Obaidi, M.A.J., 2019. Copper Adsorption in two Different Soil Texture, *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 50(2): pp. 638-645. <https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v2i50.663>.
- Al-Hayani, A.S.J.Z., Al-Hassoon, S.N.H., and Al-Obaidi, M.A.J., 2022. Adsorption of Copper on Surfaces of Feasible Materials (Plant, Waste Sludge and Bentonite Metal) *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 53(3):654- 659.
- Alhello, M.A., AL-Khuzie, D., KSaleh, S.M., Alhello, A.A., AL-Saad, H.T., and Hassan, W. F., 2020. Heavy Metals Indices in Shatt AL-Arab River, Basrah Province, Iraq. I: Sediments. *Poll Res.* 39 (February Suppl. Issue): S14-S19.
- Ali, N.El.Sh. and Salem, Sh.Ch., 2012. *Soil Chemistry*. College of Agriculture, University of Baghdad, Dar Al-Kutub Al-Ilmiyya Press, Al-Mutanabbi Street, Baghdad. (Translated book Sposito, 2008).

- Al-khafaji, S.J. and Jaafar, S.W., 2023. Heavy Metals Pollution Assessment in Soil of Al-Zubair Area, Southern Iraq. *Iraqi National Journal of Earth Science*. 23(2), 91-104. [DOI: 10.33899/earth.2023.139641.1072](https://doi.org/10.33899/earth.2023.139641.1072)
- Al-Khafaji, S.J. and Jalal, G.K., 2020. Spatial Characteristics and Heavy Metals Pollution in Urban Soils of Basrah, Iraq. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 47(4), pp. 969-978.
- Al-Manssory, F.A., 2004. An Assessment of Environmental Pollution by Some Trace Metals in northern Part of Shatt Al-Arab Sediments, Southern Iraq. *Iraqi National Journal of Earth Science*, 4(2), pp.11-0.
- Al-Obaidi, M.A., Abdel-Kareem, A.W., and AL-Juburi, A.K.A., 2012. Kinetic of Sodium Adsorption Reaction in Some Calcicorthid Soils of Northern Iraq. *Mesopotamia Journal of Agriculture*, 40(2), 88-97. <https://doi.org/10.33899/magrj.2012.38209>.
- Al-Obaidi, M.A.J. and Al-Abadi, A.M.A., 2019. Lead Reactions in Some Calcareous Soils of Nineveh Governorate. *Mesopotamia J. of Agric.*47(4),8-26. <https://doi.org/10.33899/magrj.2020.126310.1015>.
- Alsaadon, D.W.K., Hassan, F.M. and Mahdi, W.M., 2023. Assessment of Water Quality of Diyala River Using Overall Index of Pollution (OIP) in Iraq. *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 54(3), pp. 682-690. <https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i3.1748>
- Al-Sudani, I.M., 2021. Water Quality Assessment of Tigris River Using Overall Index of Pollution (OIP). *Baghdad Science Journal*, 18(2 Suppl.): 1095-1102. [https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2021.18.2\(Suppl.\).1095](https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2021.18.2(Suppl.).1095).
- Alves, C. M., Ferreira, C.M. and Soares, H.M., 2018. Relation Between Different Metal Pollution Criteria in Sediments and its Contribution on Assessing Toxicity. *Chemosphere*, 208:390-398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.05.072>.
- Al-Wotaify, A.S. and Saeed, M.A., 2017. Evaluation of Soil Pollution Standards in Heavy Metals on the Impact of the Waste Plant in Musayyib. *Euphrates Journal of Agriculture Science*, 9(4Suppl.2):1318-1327.
- Amedi, J.F., Rasheed, R.O. and Ibrahim, D.A., 2022. Remediation Capacity and Growth Responses of *Platanus Orientalis* L., *Eucalyptus Camaldulensis* Dehn., and *Populus Nigra* L. To Different Levels of Lead and Chromium in Contaminated Soil. *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 53(6), Pp.1495-1511. [DOI: https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v53i6.1666](https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v53i6.1666)
- Anderlini, V.C., Mohammad, O.S., Zarba, M.A., Fowler, S.W. and Miramand, P., 1982. Trace Metals in Marine Sediments of Kuwait. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 28(1), pp. 75-80.
- Baran, A., Wieczorek, J., Mazurek, R., Urbański, K. and Klimkiewicz-Pawlas, A., 2018. Potential Ecological Risk Assessment and Predicting Zinc Accumulation in Soils. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 40, pp. 435-450.
- Chen, H., Teng, Y., Lu, S., Wang, Y. and Wang, J., 2015. Contamination Features and Health Risk of Soil Heavy Metals in China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 512–513:143–153. [Doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.025).
- Coelho da Costa Waite, C., Oliveira Andrade da Silva, G., Pires Bitencourt, J.A., Pereira Torres Chequer, L., Pennafirme, S., de Azevedo Jurelevicius, D., Seldin, L. and Araújo Carlos Crapez, M., 2020. Potential Application of *Pseudomonas Stutzeri* W228 for Removal of Copper and Lead from Marine Environments. *PLoS One*, 15(10), p.e0240486.

- Custodio, M., Peñaloza, R., Orellana, E., Aguilar-Cáceres, M. A., and Maldonado-Oré, E.M., 2021. Heavy Metals and Arsenic in Soil and Cereal Grains and Potential Human Risk in the Central Region of Peru. *Journal of Ecological Engineering*, 22(1).
- Festa, R.A. and Thiele, D.J., 2011. Copper: An Essential Metal in Biology. *Curr. Biol.*, 21 (21): R877-R883. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.09.040>.
- Ghazi, F.F., 2018. May. Estimation of Heavy Metals Contamination in the Soil of Zaafaraniya City Using the Neural Network. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1003, No. 1, p. 012058). IOP Publishing. DOI: [10.1088/1742-6596/1003/1/012058](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1003/1/012058)
- Goher, M.E., Farhat H.I., Abdo M.H. and Salem S.G., 2014. Metal Pollution Assessment in the Surface Sediment of Lake Nasser, Egypt. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research*. 40(3):213–224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejar.2014.09.004>.
- Gong, Q., Deng J., Xiang Y., Wang, Q. and Yang L., 2008. Calculating Pollution Indices by Heavy Metals in Ecological Geochemistry Assessment and a Case Study in Parks of Beijing. *Journal of China University of Geosciences*, 19(3): 230–241. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0705\(08\)60042-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0705(08)60042-4).
- Grafkina, M.V., Pitryuk, A.V. and Goryacheva, E.V., 2023. August. Soil Pollution by Heavy Metals. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 1229, No. 1, p. 012005). IOP Publishing. DOI: [10.1088/1755-1315/1229/1/012005](https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1229/1/012005)
- Ibrahim, S.A., Abed, M.F. and Al-Tawash, B.S., 2018. Calculation of Pollution Indicators and Health Hazards of Heavy Elements in Surface Soils in Samarra City. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 59(3B):1419–1429. DOI: [10.24996/ijs.2018.59.3B.9](https://doi.org/10.24996/ijs.2018.59.3B.9)
- Islam, M.S., Hossain M.B., Matin A., Sarker M.S., 2018. Assessment of Heavy Metal Pollution, Distribution and Source Apportionment in the Sediment from Feni River Estuary, Bangladesh. *Chemosphere*. 202:25–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.03.077>.
- Jahan, S. and Strezov V., 2018. Comparison of Pollution Indices for the Assessment of Heavy Metals in the Sediments of Seaports of NSW, Australia. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 128:295–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.01.036>.
- Kabata-Pendias, A., 2011. Trace Metals in Soils and Plants. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 4th edition. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b10158>.
- Kafilat Adebola, B.A., Joseph Kayode, S. and Adebayo Akeem, O., 2018. Integrated Assessment of the Heavy Metal Pollution Status and Potential Ecological Risk in the Lagos Lagoon, South West, Nigeria. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal*, 24(2), 377-397. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10807039.2017.1384694>.
- Khudhur, N., Yassin, S.Q., Hassan, A.S. and Omar, M.N., 2021. Applying Some Indices for Soil Pollution Assessment in Northern Industrial Area from Erbil Governorate. *Al-Qadisiyah Journal of Pure Science*, 26(4), pp.45-58.
- Khudhur, N.S., Khudhur, S.M. and Ahmad, I.N., 2018. An Assessment of Heavy Metal Soil Contamination in a Steel Factory and the Surrounding Area in Erbil City. *Jordan Journal of Earth and Environmental Sciences*, 9(1), pp.1-11.
- Kierczak, J., Pedziwiatr A., Waroszewski, J. and Modelska, M., 2016. Mobility of Ni, Cr and Co in Serpentine Soils Derived on Various Ultrabasic Bedrocks Under Temperate Climate. *Geoderma*, 268: 78–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.01.025>.
- Lindsay, W.L., 1981. *Chemical Equilibria in Soils*, 449 P.

- Mahmmod, R.H., Najam, L.A., Wais, T.Y. and Mansour, H., 2023 Assessment of the Pollution of Some Heavy Metals in the Sediments of the Tigris River in the City of Mosul-Northern Iraq. *Pollution*, 9(2), pp. 646-659.
- Mawlood, S. and Essa, Q.D., 2017. Significance of Soil Development and Iron Oxides Content on Properties of Permeant Charge on Clay Surfaces in Some Selected Soils from Northern Iraq. *Mesopotamia Journal of Agriculture*, 45(2), pp.125-0. [DOI: 10.33899/magrj.2019.161306](https://doi.org/10.33899/magrj.2019.161306)
- Muller, G., 1979. Schwermetalle in den Sedimenten des Rheins-veränderungen seit Umschav 79: 133-149.
- Mununga K., Raulier, F.P., Colinet, G., Shutcha, M.N., Mubemba, M.M., and Haïssam Jijakli., M. 2023. Assessment of Heavy Metal Pollution of Agricultural Soil, Irrigation Water, and Vegetables in and Nearby the Cupriferous City of Lubumbashi, (Democratic Republic of the Congo)" *Agronomy* 13(2): 357. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13020357>.
- Nasr, S.M., Okbah, M.A. and Kasem, S.M., 2006. Environmental Assessment of Heavy Metal Pollution in Bottom Sediments of Aden Port, Yemen. *International Journal of Oceans and Oceanography*, 1(1): 99-109.
- Nowrouzi, M., Pourkhabbaz A., 2014. Application of Geoaccumulation Index and Enrichment Factor for Assessing Metal Contamination in the Sediments of Hara Biosphere Reserve, Iran. *Chemical Speciation and Bioavailability*. 26(2):99–105. <https://doi.org/10.3184/095422914X13951584546986>.
- Olaniran, A.O., Adhika B., and Balakrishna P., 2013. Review: Bioavailability of Heavy Metals in Soil: Impact on Microbial Biodegradation of Organic Compounds and Possible Improvement Strategies, *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 14(5): 10197-10228. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms140510197>.
- Othman, B.A. and Kakey, E.S., 2021. Pesticides Bioaccumulation and their Soil Pollutant Effect. *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 52(1), pp. 36-47.
- Pejman, A., Gholamrez Nabi, B., Saeedi, M. and Baghvanda, A., 2015. A New Index for Assessing Heavy Metals Contamination in Sediments: A Case Study. *Ecological Indicators*, 58:365–373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.06.012>.
- Pekey, H.K., Aybenk, D., Tolun, S., and Bakoglu, M., 2004. Ecological Risk Assessment Using Trace Elements from Surface Sediments of Izmir Buy (Northeastern Marmara Sea), Turkey. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 48(9-10):946-953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2003.11.023>.
- Poggere, G., Gasparin, A., Barbosa, J.Z., Melo, G.W., Corrêa, R.S. and Motta, A.C.V., 2023. Soil Contamination by Copper: Sources, Ecological Risks, and Mitigation Strategies in Brazil. *Journal of Trace Elements and Minerals*, 4, 100059. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemin.2023.100059>.
- Rajeshkumar, S, Liu Y, Zhang X, Ravikumar B, Bai G and Li X., 2018. Studies on Seasonal Pollution of Heavy Metals in Water, Sediment, Fish and Oyster from the Meiliang Bay of Taihu Lake in China. *Chemosphere*. 191:626–638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.078>.
- Rosas, J., L. Alvariño, A. Guabloche, S. Romero, L. Castañeda and J. Iannacone. 2023. Trace Elements Pollution in Marine Surface Sediments of Callao Bay, Peru. *Bulletin of Marine and Coastal Research*. 52 (1): 27-44. <https://doi.org/10.25268/bimc.invemar.2023.52.1.1149>.

- Savvides, C., Papadopoulos, A., Haralambous, K. J. and Loizidou, M., 1995. Sea Sediments Contaminated with Heavy Metals: Metal Speciation and Removal. *Water Science and Technology*, 32(9-10), 65-73. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0273-1223\(96\)00077-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0273-1223(96)00077-7).
- Sissakian, V.K. and Saeed, Z.B., 2012. Lithological Map of Iraq, Compiled Using GIS Techniques. *Iraqi Bulletin of Geology and Mining*, 8(3), pp. 1-13.
- Soil Survey Staff., 2022. Kellogg Soil Survey Laboratory Methods Manual. Soil Survey Investigations Report No. 42, Version 6.0. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- Sołek-Podwika, K., Ciarkowska, K. and Kaleta, D., 2016. Assessment of the Risk of Pollution by Sulfur Compounds and Heavy Metals in Soils Located in the Proximity of a Disused for 20 Years Sulfur Mine (SE Poland). *Journal of Environmental Management*, 180:450–458. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.05.074>.
- Train, R.E., 1979. Quality Criteria for Water, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington. USDA, USA. Castle House Publications.
- Varol M., 2011. Assessment of Heavy Metal Contamination in Sediments of the Tigris River (Turkey) Using Pollution Indices and Multivariate Statistical Techniques. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 195:355–364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.08.051>.
- Zhao, H., Wu, Y., Lan, X., Yang, Y., Wu, X. and Du, L., 2022. Comprehensive Assessment of Harmful Heavy Metals in Contaminated Soil in Order to Score Pollution Level. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 3552-3552. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-07602-9>.