

# Existence and uniqueness of the solution of an integro-differential equation of the second order with boundary conditions

Azzam S. Younis

Department of Mathematics / College of Education  
University of Mosul

Received  
**11 / 11 / 2008**

Accepted  
**15 / 07 / 2009**

.Samoilenko A.M

## Abstract

In this paper we investigate the existence and approximation solution for nonlinear system of an integro-differential equation of the second order with boundary conditions by using the numerical-analytic method for investigating a system of nonlinear differential equation with boundary conditions which is given by Samoilenko A. M. And also these investigations lead us to generalized the above method.

## 1. Introduction:

The numerical- analytic method has been used to study many boundary value problems [1,2,3,4,5,7].

Samoilenko, A. M. and Ronto, N.I. used the numerical- analytic method for investigating a system of nonlinear differential equation with boundary conditions:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x) \quad \dots(1.1)$$

$$Ax(0) + Cx(T) = d$$

Where the vector function  $f(t, x)$  is continuous in  $t, x$  on the domain:

$$(t, x) \in [0, T] \times D \quad \dots(1.2)$$

and  $D$  is a compact subset of the Euclidean spaces  $R^n$ ,  $A = (A_{ij})$ ,  $C = (C_{ij})$ , are positive matrices  $(n \times n)$ ,  $d \in R^n$ .

In this paper we use the numerical-analytic method of a system of nonlinear differential equation which was given by Samoilenko, A. M.  
Consider the following problem:

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = f(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s), \int_0^t \phi(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s)) ds) \quad \dots(1.3)$$

$$\gamma_1 \dot{x}(0) + \lambda_1 \dot{x}(T) = \delta \quad \dots(1.4)$$

$$\gamma x(0) + \lambda x(T) = \beta \quad \dots(1.5)$$

} ... (A)

The vector functions

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f(t, x, \dot{x}, y) = (f_1(t, x, \dot{x}, y), \dots, f_n(t, x, \dot{x}, y)) \\ \phi(t, x, \dot{x}) = (\phi_1(t, x, \dot{x}), \dots, \phi_n(t, x, \dot{x})) \end{array} \right\} \dots(1.6)$$

are continuous vectors on  $t, x, \dot{x}, y$  and defined on the domain

$$(t, x, \dot{x}, y) \in [0, T] \times D \times D_1 \times D_2 \quad \dots(1.7)$$

where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are bounded subset of  $R^n$ , and

$$\gamma = (\gamma_{ij}), \lambda = (\lambda_{ij}), \gamma_1 = (\gamma_{1ij}), \lambda_1 = (\lambda_{1ij}),$$

are positive matrices ( $n \times n$ ),  $\beta, \delta \in R^n$ .

Let the functions  $f(t, x, \dot{x}, y)$  and  $\phi(t, x, \dot{x})$  satisfy the following inequalities:

$$\|f(t, x, \dot{x}, y)\| \leq M, \|\phi(t, x, \dot{x})\| \leq N; \quad \dots(1.8)$$

$$\|f(t, x_1, \dot{x}_1, y_1) - f(t, x_2, \dot{x}_2, y_2)\| \leq K_1 \|x_1 - x_2\| + K_2 \|\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2\| + K_3 \|y_1 - y_2\| \quad \dots(1.9)$$

$$\|\phi(t, x_1, \dot{x}_1) - \phi(t, x_2, \dot{x}_2)\| \leq L_1 \|x_1 - x_2\| + L_2 \|\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2\| \quad \dots(1.10)$$

for all  $x, x_1, x_2 \in D$ ,  $\dot{x}, \dot{x}_1, \dot{x}_2 \in D_1$ ,  $y, y_1, y_2 \in D_2$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  and  
 $K_1, K_2, K_3, L_1, L_2, M, N$  are positive constants.

We define the non-empty sets as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\beta &= D - (M \frac{T^2}{2} + P_0(x_0)); \\ D_{1\beta} &= D_1 - (M \frac{T}{2} + P_1(x_0)); \\ D_{2\beta} &= D_2 - M; \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1.11)$$

$$\text{and } P_0(x_0) = \left( \frac{T+2T^2}{8} \right) M + \|x_0\| + \frac{T}{4} \|W\| + \|Q\|, P_1(x_0) = \frac{2}{T} \|x_0\| + 2TM + \frac{2}{T} \|Q\| + \|W\|$$

$$P_2(x_0) = 2\|x_0\| + T\|\dot{x}_0\| + T^2M + 2\|Q\| + T\|W\|, W = \lambda_1^{-1}\delta - \lambda_1^{-1}\gamma_1 \dot{x}_0, Q = \lambda^{-1}\beta - \lambda^{-1}\gamma x_0,$$

$$p(t) = \left( \frac{t^2}{T^2} - \frac{2t}{T} \right), p_1(t) = \left( \frac{t^2}{T} - t \right), p_2(t) = \left( \frac{2t}{T^2} - \frac{2}{T} \right), p_3(t) = \left( \frac{2t}{T^2} - 1 \right), p_4(t) = \left( \frac{t^2}{T^2} - \frac{t}{T} \right)$$

furthermore, we suppose that the largest eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of the following matrix.

$$\Lambda_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \left[ M \frac{T^2}{2} + \frac{3T^2}{8} \right] (K_1 + K_3 L_1 T) & \left[ M \frac{T^2}{2} + \frac{3T^2}{8} \right] (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T) \\ \left[ \frac{T}{2} + 2T \right] (K_1 + K_3 L_1 T) & \left[ \frac{T}{2} + 2T \right] (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T) \end{pmatrix}$$

is less than one.i.e.

$$\lambda_1 = 0, \quad \lambda_2 = \left[ M \frac{T^2}{2} + \frac{3T^2}{8} \right] (K_1 + K_3 L_1 T) + \left[ \frac{T}{2} + 2T \right] (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T) \leq 1 \quad \dots(1.12)$$

### LEMMA 1 [6]

Let  $f(t)$  be a continuous vector function in the interval  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , then

$$\left\| \int_0^t \left( f(s) - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(s) ds \right) ds \right\| \leq M \alpha(t)$$

where

$$\alpha(t) = 2t \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right), \quad M = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|f(t)\|.$$

### LEMMA 2

Let  $f(t)$  be a continuous vector function in the interval  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , then

$$\left\| \int_0^t \left[ \left( \int_0^s f(s) ds \right) - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^s f(s) ds \right) ds \right] ds \right\| \leq TM \alpha(t) \leq M \frac{T^2}{2}$$

$$\text{Where } \left\| \int_0^t f(s) ds \right\| \leq \int_0^t \|f(s)\| ds \leq tM \leq TM$$

### PROOF:

From the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t \left[ \left( \int_0^s f(s) ds \right) - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^s f(s) ds \right) ds \right] ds \right\| &\leq \left\| \int_0^t \left( \int_0^s f(s) ds \right) ds - \frac{t}{T} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^s f(s) ds \right) ds - \frac{t}{T} \int_T^t \left( \int_0^s f(s) ds \right) ds \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \int_0^t \left( \int_0^s f(s) ds \right) ds - \frac{t}{T} \int_T^t \left( \int_0^s f(s) ds \right) ds \right\| \\ &\leq \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \int_0^t \left\| \int_0^s f(s) ds \right\| ds + \frac{t}{T} \int_T^t \left\| \int_0^s f(s) ds \right\| ds \\ &\leq \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \int_0^t TM ds + \frac{t}{T} \int_T^t TM ds \\ &\leq TM \alpha(t) \leq M \frac{T^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

We define the operator  $L$  as:

$$L \left( \int_0^t f(s) ds \right) = \int_0^t \left[ \left( \int_0^s f(s) ds \right) - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^s f(s) ds \right) ds \right] ds$$

Since  $f(t)$  is continuous function on the interval  $[0, T]$ . then the integral  $\left(\int_0^t f(s)ds\right)$  is continuous on the same interval and  $L\left(\int_0^t f(s)ds\right)$  is also continuous on the same interval .

By lemma 2 .we get

$$\left\| L\left(\int_0^t f(s)ds\right) \right\| \leq \alpha(t)TM \leq M \frac{T^2}{2} \quad \text{For all } t \in [0, T], \alpha(t) \leq \frac{T}{2}.$$

## 2. Approximate solution

The investigation of approximate solution of the problem (A) will be introduced by the following theorem.

### THEOREM 1

If the system (A) satisfies the inequalities (1.8), (1.9), (1.10) and then the sequence of functions defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} x_m(t, x_0) &= x_0 + L\left(\int_0^t f(s, x_{m-1}(s, x_0), \dot{x}_{m-1}(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x_{m-1}(s, x_0), \dot{x}_{m-1}(s, x_0))ds)ds\right) \\ &+ p_4(t)\int_0^t \int_0^s f(s, x_{m-1}(s, x_0), \dot{x}_{m-1}(s, x_0), \int_0^r \phi(s, x_{m-1}(s, x_0), \dot{x}_{m-1}(s, x_0))ds)dsdt - \\ &- p_1(t)\int_0^t f(s, x_{m-1}(s, x_0), \dot{x}_{m-1}(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x_{m-1}(s, x_0), \dot{x}_{m-1}(s, x_0))ds)ds + p(t)x_0 + P_1(t)W + P(t)Q \\ x_0(t, x_0) &= x_0, \frac{dx_m(t, x_0)}{dt} = \dot{x}_m(t, x_0) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.13)$$

As  $m \rightarrow \infty$

converges uniformly in the domain:

$$(t, x_0) \in [0, T] \times D_\beta \quad \dots(2.14)$$

for  $x_0 \in D_\beta$ ,

to the function  $x_\infty(t, x_0)$  which is satisfying the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} x(t, x_0) &= x_0 + L\left(\int_0^t f(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0))ds)ds\right) \\ &+ p_4(t)\int_0^t \int_0^s f(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0), \int_0^r \phi(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0))ds)dsdt - \dots(2.15) \\ &- p_1(t)\int_0^t f(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0))ds)ds + p(t)x_0 + P_1(t)W + P(t)Q \end{aligned}$$

And has a unique solution provided that

$$\|x_\infty(t, x_0) - x_0\| \leq M \frac{T^2}{2} + P_0(x_0) \quad \dots(2.16)$$

### PROOF:

Setting  $m=1$ and using lemma 2 in (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1(t, x_0) - x_0\| \leq & \left\| x_0 + L \left( \int_0^t f(s, x_0(s, x_0), \dot{x}_0(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x_0(t, x_0), \dot{x}_0(t, x_0) dt) ds \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + p_4(t) \int_0^T \int_0^t f(s, x_0(s, x_0), \dot{x}_0(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x_0(s, x_0), \dot{x}_0(s, x_0) ds) ds dt \right) - \right. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.17)$$

$$- p_1(t) \int_0^T f(s, x_0(s, x_0), \dot{x}_0(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x_0(s, x_0), \dot{x}_0(s, x_0) ds) ds + p(t)x_0 + P_1(t)W + P(t)Q - x_0 \right\| \quad \dots(2.17)$$

$$\|x_1(t, x_0) - x_0\| \leq MT\alpha(t) + P_0(x_0) \leq M \frac{T^2}{2} + P_0(x_0) \quad \dots(2.18)$$

$x_1(t, x_0) \in D$  for all  $, x_0 \in D_\beta$

on differentiating  $x(t, x_0)$  from (2.15) and taking the norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{x}(t, x_0)\| = & \left\| p_2(t)x_0 + \int_0^t \left( f(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0) ds) - \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0) ds) ds \right) ds + \right. \\ & \left. + p_2(t) \int_0^T \int_0^t f(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0) ds) ds dt + \right. \\ & \left. + (1 - \frac{t}{T}) \int_0^T f(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x(s, x_0), \dot{x}(s, x_0) ds) ds \right. \\ & \left. - p_2(t)Q + p_3(t)W \right\| \quad \dots(2.19) \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{x}(t, x_0)\| \leq & \frac{2}{T} \|x_0\| + M\alpha(t) + 2TM + \frac{2}{T} \|Q\| + \|W\| \\ \leq & M \frac{T}{2} + P_1(x_0) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.20)$$

From (2.18),(2.21) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_1(t, x_0) - y_0(t)\| \leq & \left\| \int_0^t \phi(s, x_1(s, x_0), \dot{x}_1(s, x_0)) dt - \phi(s, x_0(s, x_0), 0) dt \right\| \\ \leq & \int_0^t \|\phi(s, x_1(s, x_0), \dot{x}_1(s, x_0)) - \phi(s, x_0(s, x_0), 0)\| dt \\ \leq & T \left( L_1 \|x_1(t, x_0) - x_0\| + L_2 \|\dot{x}_1(t, x_0)\| \right) \\ \leq & T \left( L_1 M \frac{T^2}{2} + P_0(x_0) + L_2 M \frac{T^2}{2} + P_1(x_0) \right) \\ \leq & M_1 \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.21)$$

---

where  $y_0(t) = \int_0^t \phi(s, x_0(s, x_0), 0) ds$ ,  $x_0 \in D_M$  ... (2.22)

By lemma 2 and the sequence of the functions (2.14) when  $m=1$  we get  $x_1(t, x_0) \in D$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and for all  $x_0 \in D_\beta$

by induction we have:

$$\|x_m(t, x_0) - x_0\| \leq M \frac{T^2}{2} + P_0(x_0) \quad \dots(2.23)$$

$$\|y_m(t, x_0) - y_0(t)\| \leq M_1 \quad \dots(2.24)$$

$x_m(t, x_0) \in D$  for all  $x_0 \in D_\beta$

and  $y_m(t, x_0) \in D_2$  for all  $y_0 \in D_{\beta 2}$

and  $y_m(t) = \int_0^t \phi(s, x_m(s, x_0), \dot{x}_m(s, x_0)) ds$ ,  $m=0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Now we prove that the sequence of functions  $\{x_m(t, x_0)\}_{m=0}^\infty$  converges uniformly in the domain (1.7), by (2.13) and lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{m+1}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| &\leq T\alpha(t)[(K_1 + K_3 L_1 T)\|x_{m+1}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| \\ &+ (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T)\|\dot{x}_{m+1}(t, x_0) - \dot{x}_m(t, x_0)\|] + \frac{T^2}{8}[(K_1 + K_3 L_1 T)\|x_{m+1}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| \\ &+ (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T)\|\dot{x}_{m+1}(t, x_0) - \dot{x}_m(t, x_0)\|] + \frac{T^2}{4}[(K_1 + K_3 L_1 T)\|x_{m+1}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| \\ &+ (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T)\|\dot{x}_{m+1}(t, x_0) - \dot{x}_m(t, x_0)\|] \dots(2.25) \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{T^2}{2} + \frac{3T^2}{8} \right] [(K_1 + K_3 L_1 T)\|x_{m+1}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| + \\ &\quad + (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T)\|\dot{x}_{m+1}(t, x_0) - \dot{x}_m(t, x_0)\|] \end{aligned}$$

By (1.8), (1.9), (1.10) and lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{x}_{m+1}(t, x_0) - \dot{x}_m(t, x_0)\| &\leq \alpha(t)[(K_1 + K_3 L_1 T)\|x_{m+1}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| \\ &+ (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T)\|\dot{x}_{m+1}(t, x_0) - \dot{x}_m(t, x_0)\|] + 2T[(K_1 + K_3 L_1 T)\|x_{m+1}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| \\ &\leq [\alpha(t) + 2T] \left[ (K_1 + K_3 L_1 T)\|x_{m+1}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T)\|\dot{x}_{m+1}(t, x_0) - \dot{x}_m(t, x_0)\| \right] \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{T}{2} + 2T \right] \left[ (K_1 + K_3 L_1 T)\|x_{m+1}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T)\|\dot{x}_{m+1}(t, x_0) - \dot{x}_m(t, x_0)\| \right] \dots(2.26) \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite the inequalities (2.25), (2.26) in vector form as

$$V_{m+1}(t) \leq \Lambda(t)V_m(t) \quad \dots(2.27)$$

where

$$V_{m+1}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \|x_{m+1}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| \\ \|\dot{x}_{m+1}(t, x_0) - \dot{x}_m(t, x_0)\| \end{pmatrix}; \quad \dots(2.28)$$

$$\Lambda(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \left[ T\alpha(t) + \frac{3T^2}{8} \right] (K_1 + K_3 L_1 T) & \left[ T\alpha(t) + \frac{3T^2}{8} \right] (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T) \\ [\alpha(t) + 2T](K_1 + K_3 L_1 T) & [\alpha(t) + 2T](K_2 + K_3 L_2 T) \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots(2.29)$$

it follows from inequality (2.27) that

$$V_{m+1} \leq \Lambda_0 V_m \quad \dots(2.30)$$

where

$$\Lambda_0 = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |\Lambda(t)| \quad \dots(2.31)$$

From (2.31) and by iteration, we have

$$V_{m+1} \leq \Lambda_0^m V_1 \quad \dots(2.32)$$

which leads to the estimation

$$\sum_{i=1}^m V_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \Lambda_0^{i-1} V_1, \quad \dots(2.33)$$

since the matrix  $\Lambda_0$  has eigenvalues (1.12).

and thus the series (2.34) is uniformly convergent, i.e.

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m \Lambda_0^{i-1} V_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_0^{i-1} V_1 = (E - \Lambda_0)^{-1} V_1 \quad \dots(2.34)$$

Where E is the identity matrix.

The limiting relation (2.34) implies to the uniformly convergent of the sequences  $[x_m(t, x_0)], [\dot{x}_m(t, x_0)]$  in the domain (2.14)

Let:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m(t, x_0) = x_\infty(t, x_0) \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \dot{x}_m(t, x_0) = \dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0) \end{array} \right\} \quad \dots(2.35)$$

by inequality (2.33), the estimation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \|x_\infty(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| \\ \|\dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0) - \dot{x}_m(t, x_0)\| \end{pmatrix} \leq \Lambda_0^m (E - \Lambda_0)^{-1} V_1 \quad \dots(2.36)$$

is true for  $m=1, 2, 3, \dots$

Thus  $x_\infty(t, x_0)$  is solutions of the integral equations (2.15).

Finally, we have to show that  $x(t, x_0)$  is a unique solution of (A), on the contrary we suppose that there are at least two different solutions  $x(t, x_0), z(t, x_0)$  of (A).

From (1.15), (1.16) the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t, x_0) - z(t, x_0)\| &\leq \left[ \frac{T^2}{2} + \frac{3T^2}{8} \right] [(K_1 + K_3 L_1 T) \|x(t, x_0) - z(t, x_0)\| + \\ &+ (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T) \|\dot{x}(t, x_0) - \dot{z}(t, x_0)\|] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{x}(t, x_0) - \dot{z}(t, x_0)\| &\leq \left[ \frac{T}{2} + 2T \right] \left[ (K_1 + K_3 L_1 T) \|x(t, x_0) - z(t, x_0)\| + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T) \|\dot{x}(t, x_0) - \dot{z}(t, x_0)\| \right] \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.37)$$

thus:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \|x(t, x_0) - z(t, x_0)\| \\ \|\dot{x}(t, x_0) - \dot{z}(t, x_0)\| \end{pmatrix} \leq \Lambda_0 \begin{pmatrix} \|x(t, x_0) - z(t, x_0)\| \\ \|\dot{x}(t, x_0) - \dot{z}(t, x_0)\| \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots(2.38)$$

by iteration we find that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \|x(t, x_0) - z(t, x_0)\| \\ \|\dot{x}(t, x_0) - \dot{z}(t, x_0)\| \end{pmatrix} \leq \Lambda_0^m \begin{pmatrix} \|x(t, x_0) - z(t, x_0)\| \\ \|\dot{x}(t, x_0) - \dot{z}(t, x_0)\| \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots(2.39)$$

Now from the condition (1.12) we have  $\Lambda^m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence the limit of the last inequality gives  $x(t, x_0) = z(t, x_0), \dot{x}(t, x_0) = \dot{z}(t, x_0)$  which prove that the solution is unique and this completes the proof of theorem 1..

### 3. Existence of solution

The problem of existence solution of (A) is uniquely connected with the existence of zeros of the functions,  $\Delta(0, x_0)$  which has the form.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(0, x_0) &= 2x_0 + \dot{x}_0 - \int_0^T f(s, x_\infty(s, x_0), \dot{x}_\infty(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x_\infty(s, x_0), \dot{x}_\infty(s, x_0) ds) ds - \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t f(s, x_\infty(s, x_0), \dot{x}_\infty(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x_\infty(s, x_0), \dot{x}_\infty(s, x_0) ds) ds dt W - \frac{2}{T} Q, \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.40)$$

since this functions are approximately determined from the sequence of functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_m(0, x_0) &= 2x_0 + \dot{x}_0 - \int_0^T f(s, x_m(s, x_0), \dot{x}_m(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x_m(s, x_0), \dot{x}_m(s, x_0) ds) ds - \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t f(s, x_m(s, x_0), \dot{x}_m(s, x_0), \int_0^s \phi(s, x_m(s, x_0), \dot{x}_m(s, x_0) ds) ds dt W - \frac{2}{T} Q \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.41)$$

for  $m=0,1,2,3\dots$

### LEMMA 3

Suppose that the conditions of theorem 1 are satisfied, then the following inequality

$$\|\Delta(0, x_0) - \Delta_m(0, x_0)\| \leq \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 2T(K_1 + K_3 L_1 T) \\ 2T(K_2 + K_3 L_2 T) \end{pmatrix}, \Lambda_0^m (E - \Lambda_0)^{-1} V_1 \right\rangle = \sigma_m \quad (3.42)$$

Satisfied for  $m \geq 0, y_0 \in D_{\beta_2}, x_0 \in D_\beta$ .

### PROOF:

From (3.40) and (3.41), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\Delta(0, x_0) - \Delta_m(0, x_0)\| &\leq 2T(K_1 + K_3 L_1 T) \|x_\infty(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| \\
 &+ 2T(K_2 + K_3 L_2 T) \|\dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0) - \dot{x}_m(t, x_0)\| \quad \dots(3.43) \\
 &\leq \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 2T(K_1 + K_3 L_1 T) \\ 2T(K_2 + K_3 L_2 T) \end{pmatrix}, \Lambda_0^m(E - \Lambda_0)^{-1} V_1 \right\rangle = \sigma_m
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove the following theorem taking into account that the inequality (3.42) will be satisfied for all  $m \geq 0$ .

## THEOREM 2

Suppose that for  $m \geq 0$  the sequence of functions  $\Delta(0, x_0)$  which are defined in (3.41) satisfies the inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{a+h \leq x_0 \leq b-h} \Delta_m(0, x_0) &\leq -\sigma_m \\
 \max_{a+h \leq x_0 \leq b-h} \Delta_m(0, x_0) &\geq \sigma_m \quad \dots(3.44)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where,  $h = M \frac{T^2}{2} + P_0(x_0)$ ,

then the boundary value problem (A) has solutions  $x = x(t, x_0)$  such that  $a+h \leq x_0 \leq b-h$ .

### PROOF:

Let  $x_1, x_2$  be any point in the interval  $[a+h, b-h]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(0, x_1) &= \min_{a+h \leq x_0 \leq b-h} \Delta(0, x_0) \\
 a+h \leq x_0 &\leq b-h \\
 \Delta(0, x_2) &= \max_{a+h \leq x_0 \leq b-h} \Delta(0, x_0) \quad \dots(3.45)
 \end{aligned}$$

by using the inequalities (3.42), (3.44) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(0, x_1) &= \Delta_m(0, x_1) + [\Delta(0, x_1) - \Delta_m(0, x_1)] \leq 0 \\
 \Delta(0, x_2) &= \Delta_m(0, x_2) + [\Delta(0, x_2) - \Delta_m(0, x_2)] \geq 0 \quad \dots(3.46)
 \end{aligned}$$

From the continuity of (3.40) and from (3.46) there exists a singular point  $x_\infty = x_0, x_\infty \in [x_1, x_2]$ , such that:  $\Delta(0, x_\infty) = 0$

thus  $x = x(t, x_0)$  is a solution of (A).

### REMARK

When  $R^n = R^1$  i.e.  $x_0$  is a scalar, theorem 2 can be strengthen by omitting the requirement that the singular point should be isolated. (For this remark see [5])

## THEOREM 3

If the function  $\Delta(0, x_0)$  is defined by

$$\Delta : D_\beta \rightarrow R^n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(0, x_0) = & 2x_0 + \dot{x}_0 - \int_0^T f(s, x_\infty(s, x_0), \dot{x}_\infty(s, x_0), y_\infty(s, x_0)) ds - \\ & \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t f(s, x_\infty(s, x_0), \dot{x}_\infty(s, x_0), y_\infty(s, x_0)) ds dt + (\lambda_1^{-1} \delta - \lambda_1^{-1} \gamma_1) \dot{x}_0 - \frac{2}{T} (\lambda_1^{-1} \beta - \lambda_1^{-1} \gamma) x_0 \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.47)$$

Where the function  $x_\infty(t, x_0)$  is limit of function (2.13) then the inequalities

$$\|\Delta(0, x_0)\| \leq MT + \frac{P_2(x_0)}{T} \quad \dots(3.48)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(0, x_0^1) - \Delta(0, x_0^2)\| \leq & [E_7 + 2TE_1 E_{11} (E_9 E_3 E_2 E_{10} E_7 + E_9 E_5) + \\ & + 2TE_2 E_{12} (E_{10} E_4 E_1 E_9 E_5 + E_{10} E_9)] \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| \\ & + [E_5 + 2TE_1 E_{11} (E_9 E_3 E_2 E_{10} E_8 + E_9 E_5) + \\ & + 2TE_2 E_{12} (E_{10} E_4 E_1 E_9 E_6 + E_{10} E_8)] \|\dot{x}_0^1 - \dot{x}_0^2\| \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.49)$$

Are satisfies for  $x_0, x_0^1, x_0^2 \in D_\beta$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Where, } E_1 = (K_1 + K_3 L_1 T), E_2 = (K_2 + K_3 L_2 T), E_3 = \left( \frac{T^2}{2} + \frac{3T^2}{8} \right), E_4 = \left( \frac{T}{2} + 2T \right) \\ E_5 = (1 + \|\lambda^{-1} \beta\|), E_6 = \left( \frac{T}{4} \|\lambda_1^{-1} \delta\| \right), E_7 = \left( \frac{2}{T} + \frac{2}{T} \|\lambda^{-1} \beta\| \right), E_8 = (\|\lambda_1^{-1} \delta\|), \\ E_9 = (1 - E_3 E_1)^{-1}, E_{10} = (1 - E_4 E_2)^{-1}, E_{11} = (1 - E_9 E_3 E_2 E_{10} E_4 E_1)^{-1}, \\ E_{12} = (1 - E_{10} E_4 E_1 E_9 E_3 E_2)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

### PROOF:

From  $x_\infty(t, x_0)$  the function  $\Delta(0, x_0)$  that continuous and bounded by

$$M + \frac{B_1}{T} x_0 \in D_\beta, \dot{x}_0 \in D_{\beta_1} \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(0, x_0^1) - \Delta(0, x_0^2)\| \leq & E_7 \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| + E_5 \|\dot{x}_0^1 - \dot{x}_0^2\| + 2TE_1 \|x_\infty(t, x_0^1) - x_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| \\ & + 2TE_2 \|\dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^1) - \dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.50)$$

and the functions  $x_\infty(t, x_0^1), x_\infty(t, x_0^2)$  are solution of integral equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t, x_0^k) = & x_0 + L(\int_0^t f(s, x(s, x_0^k), \dot{x}(s, x_0^k), \int_0^s \phi(s, s, x(s, x_0^k), \dot{x}(s, x_0^k)) ds) ds) \\ & + p_4(t) \int_0^T \int_0^t f(s, x(s, x_0^k), \dot{x}(s, x_0^k), \int_0^s \phi(s, s, x(s, x_0^k), \dot{x}(s, x_0^k)) ds) ds dt - \\ & - p_1(t) \int_0^T f(s, x(s, x_0^k), \dot{x}(s, x_0^k), \int_0^s \phi(s, s, x(s, x_0^k), \dot{x}(s, x_0^k)) ds) ds + \\ & + p(t)x_0 + P_1(t)\lambda_1^{-1} \delta - \lambda_1^{-1} \gamma_1 \dot{x}_0 + P(t)\lambda^{-1} \beta - \lambda^{-1} \gamma x_0 \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.51)$$

By (3.51) and lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_\infty(t, x_0^1) - x_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| &\leq E_3 E_1 \|x_\infty(t, x_0^1) - x_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| + \\ &+ E_3 E_2 \|\dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^1) - \dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| + E_5 \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| + E_6 \|\dot{x}_0^1 - \dot{x}_0^2\| \dots (3.52) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^1) - \dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| &\leq E_4 E_1 \|x_\infty(t, x_0^1) - x_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| + \\ &+ E_4 E_2 \|\dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^1) - \dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| + E_7 \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| + E_8 \|\dot{x}_0^1 - \dot{x}_0^2\| \dots (3.53) \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_\infty(t, x_0^1) - x_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| &\leq E_9 E_3 E_2 \|\dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^1) - \dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| + \\ &+ E_9 E_5 \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| + E_9 E_6 \|\dot{x}_0^1 - \dot{x}_0^2\| \dots (3.54) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^1) - \dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| &\leq E_{10} E_4 E_1 \|x_\infty(t, x_0^1) - x_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| + \\ &+ E_{10} E_7 \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| + E_{10} E_8 \|\dot{x}_0^1 - \dot{x}_0^2\| \dots (3.55) \end{aligned}$$

from (3.54) in (3.55) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_\infty(t, x_0^1) - x_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| &\leq E_{11} (E_9 E_3 E_2 E_{10} E_7 + E_9 E_5) \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| \\ &+ E_{11} (E_9 E_3 E_2 E_{10} E_8 + E_9 E_5) \|\dot{x}_0^1 - \dot{x}_0^2\| \dots (3.56) \end{aligned}$$

from (3.55) in (3.54) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^1) - \dot{x}_\infty(t, x_0^2)\| &\leq E_{12} (E_{10} E_4 E_1 E_9 E_5 + E_{10} E_7) \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| \\ &+ E_{12} (E_{10} E_4 E_1 E_9 E_6 + E_{10} E_8) \|\dot{x}_0^1 - \dot{x}_0^2\| \dots (3.57) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.56) and (3.57) in (3.50). We get (3.49) .

## REFERENCE:

- 1) Butris, R. N. Existence of a solution for system of second-order differential equation with Boundary conditions, Iraq, Mosul, J. Educ and sci vol (18) (1994).
- 2) Lazhar Bougoffa, A Class of Second Order Differential Equations with Nonlocal Boundary Conditions, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 1, (2007), no. 21, 1035 – 1039.
- 3) Martynuk, C. B. The investigation of solution for differential equations with boundary conditions. Ukrain. Kiev. Nauk. nonlinear problems in the theory of differential equations N0 2 (1991).
- 4) S. K. Ntouyas and P. Ch. Tsamatos, Existence and Uniqueness for Second Order Boundary value Problems, (Universty of Ioannina, Greece) Funkcialaj Ekvacioj 38(1995) 59-69.
- 5) Samoilenko, A. M. and Ronto, N. I. A numerical -analytic methods for investigations solutions with Boundary conditions Ukrainian, Kiev, (1985).
- 6) Samoilenko, A. M. and Ronto, N. I. A numerical -analytic methods for investigations of periodic solutions, Ukrainian, Kiev (1976).
- 7) Tadeusz Jankowski, Ordinary Differential Equation With Nonlinear Boundary Conditions, Georgian Mathematical Journal, Vol.9 (2002), Number 2, 287–294.