

On GP-Injectivity With Some Types of Rings

Raida D. Mahmood
Dept. of Mathematics
College of Computer and
Mathematical Science
University of Mosul

Abdullah M. Abdul-Jabbar
Dept. of Mathematics
College of Science
University of Salahaddin-Erbil

Received
4/5/2006

Accepted
3/10/2006

الملخص

GP-
:
R GP- R -1
 π - R (reversible)
R- - R -2
. π - R GP-

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study GP-injective modules and give some of its properties. Also, we proved:

- (1) If every simple right R-module is GP-injective, and R is reversible ring, then R is a right weakly π -regular.
- (2) Let R be a right weakly regular, right quasi-duo ring, if every simple singular right R-module are GP-injective, then R is π -regular.

Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary. $J(R)$, $Y(R)$ and $Z(R)$ are denote the Jacobson radical, the right and left singular ideals of R, respectively. A right R-module M is called Generalized P-injective(briefly, GP-injective) if for any $a \in R$, there exists a positive integer n such that $a^n \neq 0$ and any right R-homomorphism of $a^n R$ into M extends to one of R into M. This concept was first introduced by Ming [13], Kim, Nam and Kim [9], [10]. An ideal I of a ring R is called reduced if it contains no nonzero nilpotent elements. A ring R is called π -regular [11] if for any $a \in R$, there exists a positive integer n and an element b of R such that $a^n = a^n b a^n$. Therefore, R is π -regular if and only if for any $a \in R$, there exists

a positive integer n such that $a^n R$ is a direct summand of R . For any nonempty subset X of a ring R , the right (left) annihilator of X will be denoted by $r(X)$ ($\ell(X)$), respectively. Recall that:

- (1) A ring R is said to be ERT-ring (resp. MERT-ring) [12] if every essential (resp. maximal essential) right ideal of R is two-sided.
- (2) Following [14], a ring R is called right (left) quasi-duo if every maximal right (left) ideal of R is two-sided.
- (3) R is a right (left) weakly π -regular[6] if, for every $a \in R$, there exists a positive integer n , depending on a such that $a^n \in a^n R a^n R$ ($a^n \in R a^n R a^n$). R is called weakly π -regular if it is both right and left weakly π -regular.
- (4) Following [2], a ring R is called a right (left) Ikeda-Nakayama ring(briefly, IN-ring) if it satisfies condition $\ell(I \cap J) = \ell(I) + \ell(J)$, for all right (left) ideals I and J of R .
- (5) R is called semi-prime [5] if it contains no nonzero nilpotent ideals.
- (6) A ring R is called left non-singular if $Z(R) = 0$.
- (7) An ideal I of a ring R is said to be a nilideal [1] if each element x in I is nilpotent; that is to say, if there exists a positive integer n for which $x^n = 0$, $x \in R$.

In 1995 [10] and 1999 [9] asked the following questions, respectively.

Question 1:

Is R right weakly π -regular, if every simple right R -module is GP-injective ?

Question 2:

Is R π -regular, if R is a right quasi-duo ring and every simple singular right R -module are GP-injective ?

In this paper, we give answer for these questions and we give condition for every simple right R -module is GP-injective to be right weakly π -regular. As a byproduct, we also show that R is a right quasi-duo ring and every simple singular right R -module are GP-injective is π -regular ring.

2. GP-Injectivity

In this section we discuss the connection between right GP-injectivity with weakly π -regular and π -regular rings.

The following lemma, which is duo to Kim *et. al.* in [10], plays a central role in several of our proofs.

Lemma 2.1:

If R is a right GP-injective ring, then $J(R) = Z(R)$.

Proposition 2.2:

Let R be a right GP-injective and strongly π -regular ring. Then, $J(R)$ is a nilideal.

Proof:

By Lemma 2.1, $J(R) = Z(R)$. Suppose that $J(R)$ is not nilideal. Then, there exists $a \in J(R) = Z(R)$ such that $a^n \neq 0$, for all positive integer n . Since R is strongly π -regular, then $a^n = a^{n+1}b$ for some $b \in R$. Now, let $x \in \ell(ab) \cap Ra^n$. Hence that $xab = 0$ and $x = ra^n$, for some $r \in R$. So, $0 = ra^na b = ra^{n+1}b = ra^n = x$. Thus, $\ell(ab) \cap Ra^n = 0$. Then, $a^n = 0$, a contradiction. This proves that $J(R)$ is a nilideal of R . ♦

Recall that a ring R is right (left) GP-injective [3] if for any $0 \neq a \in R$, there exists a positive integer n such that $a^n \neq 0$ and $Ra^n = \ell(r(a^n))$ ($a^n R = r(\ell(a^n))$).

Lemma 2.3:

Let R be a semi-prime, ERT and right GP-injective ring. Then, R is a right non-singular.

Proof:

See [7, Theorem 2.3]. ♦

Theorem 2.4:

Let R be a semi-prime, ERT, IN and right GP-injective ring. Then, R is π -regular.

Proof:

Consider a principal left ideal Ra^n for any nonzero $a \in R$, and a positive integer n . Since R is right GP-injective, then $Ra^n = \ell(r(a^n))$. By Lemma 2.3, R is a right non-singular. So, $r(a)$ is not essential right ideal of R . Hence $r(a^n) \oplus T$ is an essential right ideal for some nonzero right ideal T of R . Since R is IN-ring, then $\ell(r(a^n)) + \ell(T) = \ell(r(a^n) \cap T) = R$, which implies $\ell(r(a^n)) \cap \ell(T) \subseteq \ell(r(a^n) + T) = 0$, since $r(a^n) + T$ is an essential. So, $Ra^n = \ell(r(a^n))$ is a direct summand of R . Therefore, R is π -regular. ♦

Following [4], a ring R is called reversible if $ab = 0$ implies $ba = 0$ for $a, b \in R$.

Lemma 2.5: [8]

If R is a reversible ring, then $r(a) = \ell(a)$, for each $a \in R$.

Now, we give under what condition the answer of the first question of a ring is affirmative.

Theorem 2.6:

Let R be a reversible ring. If every simple right R -module is GP-injective, then R is right weakly π -regular.

Proof:

Let $a \in R$ such that $a^2 = 0$ and let M be a maximal right ideal containing $r(a)$. Thus, R/M is GP-injective and so any R -homomorphism of aR into R/M extends to one of R into R/M . Let $f : aR \rightarrow R/M$ be defined by $f(ar) = r + M$, for every $r \in R$. Note that f is a well-defined R -homomorphism. Since R/M is GP-injective, there exists $c \in R$ such that $1 + M = f(a) = ca + M$. Now, by Lemma 2.5, $r(a) = \ell(a)$, so $ca \in r(a) \subseteq M$, whence $1 \in M$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $a = 0$ and hence R is reduced. We will show that $Ry^nR + r(y^n) = R$, for any $y \in R$ and a positive integer n . Suppose that $Ry^nR + r(y^n) \neq R$. Then, there exists a maximal right ideal containing $Ry^nR + r(y^n)$. Since R/M is GP-injective, there exists a positive integer m such that $y^{nm} \neq 0$ and any R -homomorphism of $y^{nm}R$ into R/M extends to one of R into R/M . Let $f : y^{nm}R \rightarrow R/M$ defined by $f(y^{nm}b) = b + M$, for every $b \in R$. Since R is reduced, then f is well-defined R -homomorphism. Since R/M is GP-injective, there exists $c \in R$ such that $1 + M = f(y^{nm}) = cy^{nm} + M$, whence $1 - cy^{nm} \in M$ and so $1 \in M$. This a contradiction. Therefore, $Ry^nR + r(y^n) = R$ and $1 = b + t_1y^nt_2$, for some $t_1, t_2 \in R$ and $b \in r(y^n)$. Whence R is weakly π -regular. ♦

We now consider other condition for right simple GP-injective to be weakly π -regular.

Theorem 2.7:

Let R be a semi-prime ring with each nonzero right ideal contains a nonzero two-sided ideal. If R is a right simple GP-injective, then it is weakly π -regular.

Proof:

Assume that $0 \neq a \in R$ such that $a^2 = 0$. Then, by assumption there is a nonzero ideal I of R with $I \subseteq aR$. We claim that $\ell(a) \cap I \neq 0$. For if $Ia = 0$, then $I \subseteq \ell(a)$ and if $Ia \neq 0$, then $Ia \subseteq I \cap \ell(a) \neq 0$. Now, $(I \cap \ell(a))^2 \subseteq \ell(a)I \subseteq \ell(a)aR = 0$. Since R is semi-prime, $I \cap \ell(a) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, R is reduced. By a similar way of proof is used in previous theorem, we obtain that R is weakly π -regular. ♦

Theorem 2.8:

Let R be MERT, semi-prime ring such that each nonzero right ideal contains a nonzero two-sided ideal. If R is a simple right R -module GP-injective. Then, R is biregular.

Proof:

By a similar way of proof is used in Theorem 2.6, we obtain that R is reduced. For any $b \in R$, set $T = RbR + r(b)$. Since $RbR \cap r(b) = RbR \cap r(RbR) = 0$ (because R is reduced), then $T = RbR \oplus r(b)$. Suppose that $T \neq R$. If M is a maximal right ideal of R containing T , then T is an essential right ideal of R . Since R/T is GP-injective. Now, define $f: b^n R \rightarrow R/T$ by $f(b^n r) = r + T$, note that f is a well-defined R -homomorphism. Since R/T is GP-injective, there exists $c \in R$ such that $1 + T = f(b^n) = cb^n + T$ and so $1 - cb^n \in T$, since $b^n \in T$ and R is MERT-ring, this implies that T is a two-sided, and hence $cb^n \in T$. Thus, $1 \in T$, a contradiction $T \neq R$. This proves that $RbR = eR$, where $e = e^2 \in R$. Since R is reduced, then e is central in R . Whence R is biregular. ♦

3. Rings Whose Simple Singular Modules are GP-injective

In this section the concept of weakly regular rings are considered in connection with simple singular modules are GP-injective and other rings.

We begin this section with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1:

Every right weakly regular ring is semi-prime.

Proof:

See [10]. ♦

The second question does not hold ingeneral, we consider some conditions under which the answer of it is affirmative.

Theorem 3.2:

Let R be a right weakly regular, right quasi-duo ring. Then, R is π -regular ring, if every simple singular right R -module are GP-injective.

Proof:

Assume that $a^2 = 0$ and $a \neq 0$. Then, there exist a maximal right ideal M of R such that $a^n R + r(a^n) \subseteq M$. Observe that M must be an essential right ideal of R . If M is not essential, then we can write $M = r(e)$, where $0 \neq e = e^2 \in R$. Since $eR a^n R = 0$ and R is semi-prime we have $a^n R eR = 0$. Thus, $e \in r(a^n) \subseteq M = r(e)$, where $e = 0$. It is a contradiction. Therefore, R/M is GP-injective and so any R -homomorphism of $a^n R$ into R/M extends to one of R into R/M . Let $f: a^n R \rightarrow R/M$ be defined by $f(a^n x) = x + M$, for all $x \in R$. Indeed, let $x_1, x_2 \in R$, if $a^n x_1 = a^n x_2$, then $(x_1 - x_2) \in r(a^n) \subseteq M$. Hence $x_1 + M = x_2 + M$. Thus, $f(a^n x_1) = x_1 + M = x_2 + M = f(a^n x_2)$. Therefore, f is a well-defined R -homomorphism.

Since R/M is GP-injective, there exists $c \in R$ such that $1 + M = f(a^n) = c a^n + M$. Thus, $1 - c a^n \in M$, whence $1 \in M$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, R is a reduced and hence $a^n R + r(a^n) = R$. In particular, $1 = a^n x + b$, for some $x \in R$ and $b \in r(a^n)$. So, $a^n = a^n x a^n$. Therefore, R is π -regular. ♦

Corollary 3.3:

Let R be a semi-prime and quasi-duo ring. If every simple singular R -module is GP-injective, then R is a strongly π -regular.

Lemma 3.4 [9]:

If R is a semi-prime ring such that each nonzero right ideal of R contains a nonzero ideal, then R is reduced.

Theorem 3.5:

Let R be a ring such that each nonzero right ideal of R contains a nonzero two-sided ideal. If R is right simple singular GP-injective, then R is a reduced weakly π -regular. ♦

Proof:

Suppose that there exists a nonzero right ideal T of R such that $T^n = 0$. Then, there exists a nonzero $a \in T$ and a positive integer n such that $a^n = 0$. First observe that $r(a^n)$ is an essential right ideal of R . If not, there exists a nonzero right ideal K such that $r(a^n) \oplus K$ is right essential in R . Let I be a nonzero ideal of R such that $I \subseteq K$. Now, $a^n I \subseteq a^n R \cap I \subseteq r(a^n) \cap K = 0$ and hence $I \subseteq r(a^n) \cap K = 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus, $r(a^n)$ must be a proper essential right ideal of R . Hence there exists a maximal right ideal M of R containing $r(a^n)$. Obviously M is an essential right ideal of R and R/M is GP-injective. So, any R -homomorphism of $a^n R$ into R/M extends to one of R into R/M . Let $f: a^n R \rightarrow R/M$ be defined by $f(a^n r) = r + M$, for all $r \in R$. Then, f is a well-defined R -homomorphism. Since R/M is GP-injective, so there exists $c \in R$ such that $1 + M = f(a^n) = c a^n + M$. Now, $a^n c a^n \in a^n R a^n \subseteq T^n = 0$, hence $c a^n \in r(a^n) \subseteq M$ and so $1 \in M$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, R must be semi-prime. By Lemma 3.4, R is reduced. We will show that $R a^n R + r(a^n) = R$, for any $a \in R$ and a positive integer n . Suppose that $R a^n R + r(a^n) \neq R$. Then, there exists a maximal right ideal M of R containing $R a^n R + r(a^n)$. Thus, R/M is GP-injective. So, there exists any R -homomorphism of $a^n R$ into R/M extends to one of R into R/M . Let $f: a^n R \rightarrow R/M$ be defined by $f(a^n r) = r + M$, for all $r \in R$. Since R is reduced, then f is a well-defined R -homomorphism. Now, R/M is GP-injective, so there exists $c \in R$ such that $1 + M = f(a^n) = c a^n + M$. Hence $1 - c a^n \in M$ and so $1 \in M$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $R a^n R + r(a^n) = R$. In particular, $1 = b a^n d +$

x , for some $b, d \in R$ and $x \in r(a^n)$. Therefore, $a^n = a^n b a^n d$. So, $a^n R = a^n R a^n R$ and hence R is weakly π -regular. ♦

References

1. Burton D. M. (1970), *A first course in rings and ideals*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
2. Camillo V., Nicholson W. K. and Yousif M. F. (2000), Ikeda-Nakayama rings, *J. Algebra*, 226, pp. 1001-1010.
3. Chen J., Zhou Y. and Zhu Z. (2005), Gp-injective rings need not be p-injective, *Comm. Algebra*, 33, 2395-2402.
4. Cohn P. M. (1999), Reversible rings, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 31, 641-648.
5. Faith C. (1973), *Algebra: Rings, Modules and Categories I*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg NewYork.
6. Gupta V. (1977), Weakly π -regular rings and group rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 19, 123-127.
7. Ibraheem Z. M. (2004), On ERT and MERT-rings, *Raf. J. Of Comp. Sc. And Maths.*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 28-33.
8. Kim N. K. and Lee Y. (2003), Extensions of reversible rings, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 185, 207-223.
9. Kim N. K., Nam S. B. and Kim J. Y. (1999), On simple singular GP-injective modules, *Comm. Algebra*, 27(5), 2087-2096.
10. Kim N. K., Nam S. B. and Kim J. Y. (1995), On simple GP-injective modules, *Comm. Algebra*, 23(14), 5437-5444.
11. McCoy N. H. (1939), Generalized regular rings, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* Vol. 45, 175-178.
12. Ming R. Y. C. (1980), On V-rings and prime rings, *Journal of Algebra* 62, 13-20.
13. Ming R. Y. C. (1985), On regular rings and Artinian rings II, *Riv. Math. Univ. Parma*, 4(11), pp. 101-109.
14. Yu H. P. (1995), On quasi-duo rings, *Glasgow Math. J.* 37, 21-30.