### ON y-REGULAR RINGS

#### ABDUL AALI J. MOHAMMAD & SANHAN M. SALIH

Department of Mathematics
College of Education
University of Mosul

Department of Mathematics
College of Science Education
University of Salahaddin -Erbil

Received 2006/10/3

Accepted 2006/6/21

### المُلخَص

الهدف الرئيسي في هذا البحث هو تعريف ودراسة نمط جديد من الحلقات المنتظمة a سميت بالحلقات المنتظمة من النمط a اذا كان لكل a في a يوجد a وعدد صحيح موجب a بحيث ان a بحيث ان a

كذلك در سنا بعض الصفات الرئيسية لهذه الحلقات والتمثيل لعناصرها . اخيرا در سنا العلاقة بين الحلقات المنتظمة من النمط -  $\gamma$  و بعض الحلقات الاخرى

#### ABSTRACT

The main goal of this work is to introduce and study a new type of regular rings called  $\gamma$ -regular rings. That is, a ring R is said to be  $\gamma$ -regular if for every  $a \in R$  there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a = ab^n a$ .

We will study some basic properties of those rings including the representation of their elements.

Finally, we will study the relation between  $\gamma$ -regular rings and other rings.

### 1: Introduction:

We conclude that all rings are assumed to be associative with identity.

A ring R is said to be Von Neumann regular if for every  $a \in R$ , there exists  $b \in R$  such that a=aba. The concept of regular rings was introduced by J. Von Neumann in 1936[13]. As a generalization of this concept McCoy[1] defined  $\pi$ -regular rings, that is, a ring R with every  $a \in R$ , there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer n such that  $a^n = a^n b a^n$ . In the recent years regularity and  $\pi$ -regularity have been extensively studied by many authors (cf.[1], [10], [11], [12], [15]).

A ring R is said to be strongly regular if for every  $a \in R$ , there exists  $b \in R$   $a = a^2b$ . This concept has been defined some sixty years ago by R.F.Arens and I.Kaplansky [2], and was studied in recent years by many authors (cf. [3],[4],[9]). It should be noted that in a strongly regular ring R,  $a = ba^2$  if and only if  $a = a^2b$  [5]. Azumaya[3] in 1954 defined strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings, that is, a ring R with every  $a \in R$ , there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer n such that  $a^n = a^{n+1}b$ .

In 1968 Ehrlich [6] defined unit regular rings, that is, a ring R with every  $a \in R$ , there exists a unit  $u \in R$  such that a=aua.

### : γ-Regular Rings:

In this section we introduce the definition of  $\gamma$ -regular rings and some basic properties of them.

#### **Definition 2.1:**

An element a of a ring R is said to be  $\gamma$ -regular if there exists b in R and a positive integer  $n \neq 1$  such that  $a = a b^n a$ .

A ring R is said to be  $\gamma\text{-regular}$  if every element of R is  $\gamma\text{-regular}$  element.

#### Examples 2.2:

The following rings are γ-regular rings:

- $1-Z_3, Z_5, Z_{11}, Z_{15}.$
- $2-R_{2x2}(Z_2)$ , the ring of 2x2 matrices over  $Z_2$ .
- 3-Boolean rings.

Its clear that every  $\gamma$ -regular ring is regular ring, however the converse is not true in general, for example the rings (Q, +, .) of rational numbers, the rational(real) Hamilton Quaternion and a quadratic field are regulars but not  $\gamma$ -regulars because 2 is regular element in each of them but not  $\gamma$ -regular element.

### Theorem 2.3:

Let R be a  $\gamma$ -regular ring and I be an ideal of R, then R/I is also  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

**<u>Proof:</u>** Let  $a+1 \in R/I$ , so  $a \in R$ . Since R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring then there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a = a b^n a$ .

Hence a+I=a  $b^n$   $a+I=(a+I)(b^n+I)(a+I)=(a+I)(b+I)^n(a+I)$ .

Therefore R/I is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

## Definition 2.4:

An ideal I of a ring R is said to be  $\gamma$ -regular if for every element  $a \in I$  there exists  $b \in I$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a = a b^n a$ .

## **Definition 2.5: [7]**

A ring R is said to be reduced, if R contains no non-zero nilpotent elements.

## Lemma 2.6: [7]:

Every idempotent element in a reduced ring is central.

## Proposition 2.7:

In a reduced  $\gamma$ -regular ring, every ideal is  $\gamma$ -regular.

<u>Proof:</u> Let I be any ideal of a reduced  $\gamma$ -regular ring R, and  $a \in I$ , then there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a = a b^n$  a. Let  $e = ab^n$ , then e is idempotent element and hence it is central.

Now let  $y=ab^{n+1}$ , so  $y \in I$ , then

$$ay^n a = a(ab^{n+1})^n a = a(ab^{n+1} \ ab^{n+1} \ ab^{n+1} \ ... \ ab^{n+1})a = a(ab^n b \ ab^{n+1} \ ab^{n+1} \ ... \ ab^{n+1})a$$

$$=a(b ab^{n+1} ab^{n+1} ... ab^{n+1})a = a(b ab^n b ab^{n+1} ... ab^{n+1})a$$

$$= a(b^2 ab^n ab^{n+1} \dots ab^{n+1})a = a(b^2 ab^{n+1} \dots ab^{n+1})a = \dots = \dots = \dots$$

=  $a(b^{n-1} ab^{n+1})a = a(b^{n-1} ab^n b)a = a(b^n ab^n)a = ab^n ab^n a = ab^n a = a$ . That is  $a = ay^n a$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  Hence I is  $\gamma$ -regular ideal.

### Theorem 2.8:

A homomorphic image of  $\gamma$ -regular ring is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

**Proof:** Let  $f: R \to R'$  be a homomorphism from R to R'. Let  $y \in f(R)$ . Then there exists  $x \in R$  such that y = f(x). Since R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $x = xb^n x$ .

Now  $y=f(x)=f(x)b^nx)=f(x)f(b^n)f(x)= f(x)(f(b))^nf(x)=y(f(b))^ny$ . Therefore (R)  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

### Lemma 2.9:[10]

If R is a reduced ring, and if a is a non-zero element in R. Then  $r(a)=r(a^2)$ , and l(a)=r(a), where l(a) and r(a) are the left and right annihilators of a respectively.

### Theorem 2.10:

Let R be a reduced ring. If R/r(a) is  $\gamma$ -regular ring for all  $a \in R$ , then R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

**Proof:** Suppose that R/r(a) is  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then for any  $a+r(a) \in R/r(a)$ , there exists  $b+r(a) \in R/r(a)$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a+r(a) = (a+r(a))(b+r(a))^n (a+r(a)) = ab^n a+r(a)$ . Then  $a-ab^n a \in r(a)$ . So  $a(a-ab^n a)=0$ .

That is  $a^2$  (1-  $b^n a$ )=0. Then (1-  $b^n a$ ) $\in$ r( $a^2$ )=r(a) [Lemma 2.9]. o a (1-  $b^n a$ )=0. Hence a= $ab^n a$ . Therefore R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

#### Lemma 2.11:

If y is an element of a ring R such that a-ay<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> a is  $\gamma$ -regular element, then a is regular element, where  $1 \neq \alpha$  is a positive integer.

**Proof:** Suppose that a-ay $^{\alpha}$ a is  $\gamma$ -regular element, then there exists an element  $b \in R$  and a positive integer  $n \neq 1$  such that

$$a-ay^{\alpha}a=(a-ay^{\alpha}a)b^{\alpha}(a-ay^{\alpha}a).$$

Now  $a-ay^{\alpha}a=(a-ay^{\alpha}a)(b^na-b^nay^{\alpha}a)=ab^na-ab^nay^{\alpha}a-ay^{\alpha}ab^na+ay^{\alpha}ab^nay^{\alpha}a$ , then  $a=ay^{\alpha}a+ab^na-ab^nay^{\alpha}a-ay^{\alpha}ab^na+ay^{\alpha}ab^nay^{\alpha}a$ 

$$= a(y^{\alpha} + b^{n} - b^{n}ay^{\alpha} - y^{\alpha}ab^{n} + y^{\alpha}ab^{n}ay^{\alpha})a = a z a.$$

Where  $z=y^{\alpha}+b^{n}-b^{n}ay^{\alpha}-y^{\alpha}ab^{n}+y^{\alpha}ab^{n}ay^{\alpha}$ . Therefore a is a regular lement.

### Theorem 2.12:

Let R be a ring and let I be a  $\gamma$ -regular ideal such that R/I is  $\gamma$ -regular. Then R is regular ring.

<u>Proof:</u> Let  $a \in R$ . Then  $a+I \in R/I$ . Since R/I is  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then there exists  $b+I \in R/I$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a+I=(a+I)(b+I)^n(a+I)$ . Then  $a+I=ab^na+I$ . So  $a-ab^na \in I$ . Hence a is regular element [Lemma 2.11]. herefore R is regular ring.

### **Definition 2.13:**

A ring R is said to be unit  $\gamma$ -regular if for every a in R there exists a unit u in R and a positive integer  $n \neq 1$  such that  $a = a u^n a$ .

## **Definition 2.14:[12]**

A ring R is said to be a semi-commutative ring if every idempotent element in R is central.

Hence every reduced ring is semi-commutative ring.[12]

# Theorem 2.15:

Let R be a semi-commutative γ-regular ring, then R is unit regular ring.

<u>Proof:</u> Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and a positive integer  $n \neq 1$  such that  $x = xy^n x$ . Then  $xy^n$  and  $y^n x$  are idempotent elements.

Hence 
$$xy^n = x(y^n x)y^n = (xy^n)(y^n x) = y^n(xy^n)x = y^n x$$
. Let  $v = xy^n + xy^{2n} - 1$  and  $u = x + xy^n - 1$ . Since  $xy^n = y^n x$  and  $x = xy^n x$ , we have  $uy = (x + xy^n - 1)(xy^n + xy^{2n} - 1)$ 

$$uv = (x + xy^n - 1)(xy^n + xy^{2n} - 1)$$

$$= xxy^{n} + xxy^{2n} - x + xy^{n}xy^{n} + xy^{n}xy^{2n} - xy^{n} - xy^{n} - xy^{2n} + 1$$

$$= xy^{n}x + xy^{n}xy^{n} - x + xy^{n}xy^{n} + xy^{n}xy^{n}y^{n} - xy^{n} - xy^{n} - xy^{n}y^{n} + 1$$

$$= x + xy^{n} - x + xy^{n} + xy^{n}y^{n} - xy^{n} - xy^{n} - xy^{n}y^{n} + 1 = 1.$$

Similarly vu=1 and  $xvx=x(xy^n+xy^{2n}-1)x=xxy^nx+xxy^ny^nx-x^2=x^2+x-x^2=x$ . herefore R is unit regular ring.

# **Proposition 2.16:**

If R is a ring such that for each nonzero element  $a \in R$  there is a unique  $b \in R$  such that  $a^n = a^n b a^n$ , a positive integer  $n \ne 1$ , then b is  $\gamma$ -regular element.

<u>Proof:</u> Since  $a^n=a^nba^n$  for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then R has no divisor of zero. Then cancellation law holds.

ow  $1=ba^n \Rightarrow b=ba^nb$ . Therefore b is  $\gamma$ -regular element.

# **Proposition 2.17:**

If a ring R is  $\gamma$ -regular, then r(a) is direct summand for every a in R.

<u>Proof:</u> Since R is  $\gamma$ -regular, then for each  $a \in R$  there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a = ab^n a$ . Then  $a(1-b^n a) = 0$ .

So 1-  $b^n a \in r(a)$ . Hence 1- $d \in r(a)$ , where  $d=b^n a$  and ad=a.

Now 1=d+(1-d), then R=dR+r(a). We shall prove that  $dR \cap r(a)=0$ .

Let  $x \in dR \cap r(a)$ , then  $x \in dR$  and ax = 0. So x = dc for some  $c \in R$ .

Now ax=adc=0, then ac=0.So  $b^n$ ac=0.That is dc=0. Hence x=0.

Now  $y=u^{-1}ay=a(u^{-1}y) \in aR$  (Because a=e+u and R is semi commutative, en  $a=u^{-1}e+1$  and  $au^{-1}=eu^{-1}+1=u^{-1}e+1$ . So  $au^{-1}=u^{-1}a$ ). Hence  $(1-e)R\subseteq aR$ .

### 4: γ-Regular Rings with condition (\*):

One of the most important rings was introduced by Kandasamy [14] is quasi-commutative rings that is a ring R with  $ab=b^m a$  for every pair  $a,b \in R$  and for some positive integer m.

Here we restrict the quasi-commutative ring to the condition that has a main role in our proofs and to discuss the connection between  $\gamma$ -regular rings and some other rings. The condition is

(\*): Let R be a ring such that for every  $1 \neq a \in R$  and  $b \in R$ , there exists a positive integer m > 1 such that  $ab=b^ma$ .

The reason for 1 that not satisfies condition (\*) is  $1 \cdot b = b^m \cdot 1$ , this equation is true if m=1, also the identity element  $1 \in \mathbb{R}$  is  $\gamma$ -regular element, strongly  $\gamma$ -regular element.

In this section we discus the connection between  $\gamma$ -regular ring with the other rings which they are commutative, reduced or satisfies condition (\*).

## **Proposition 4.1:**

Every reduced  $\gamma$ -regular ring is strongly regular ring.

**Proof:** Since reduced  $\gamma$ -regular ring implies reduced regular ring, then t's trongly regular ring, [10;Theorem1.3.7].

But the converse of this theorem is not true in general. For example the ring (Q, +, .) of rational number is reduced strongly regular but not  $\gamma$ -regular.

### Corollary 4.2:

Let R be a semi-commutative  $\gamma$ -regular ring. Then R is strongly regular ring.

roof: Follows from [12; Proposition 1.2.5].

### Corollary 4.3:

If R is duo  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then R is strongly regular ring. **roof:** Follows from [12; Proposition 1.2.5].

### Theorem 4.4:

Let R be a ring satisfies condition (\*), then the following are equivalent:

- 1- R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.
- 2- R is strongly regular ring.

**Proof:** 1 $\Rightarrow$ 2: For every  $a \in R$  there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a=ab^na$ . Since R satisfies condition (\*), then  $ab^n=(b^n)^ra=b^{nr}a$  for some positive integer r > 1.

Now  $a=ab^na=b^{nr}a.a=b^{nr}a^2=ca^2$ , where  $c=b^{nr}\in R$ , and if  $b^na=a^mb^n$  for some positive integer m>1, then  $a=aa^mb^n=a^2a^{m-1}b^n=a^2d$ , where  $d=a^{m-1}b^n\in R$ . Therefore R is strongly regular ring.

2⇒1: For every  $a \in R$  there exists  $b \in R$  such that  $a=a^2b$ . Now  $a=a.ab=ab^na$  for some positive integer n > 1 (R satisfies condition (\*)). Then R is regular ring.

From the proof of above theorem also we can shows that  $1\Rightarrow 2$  even when R is quasi-commutative ring, as in the following corollary:

### **Corollary 4.5:**

Let R be a quasi-commutative  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then R is strongly regular ring.

### Theorem 4.6:

Let R be a reduced ring satisfies condition (\*). Then the following are equivalent:

- 1- R is γ-regular ring.
- 2- R is regular ring.

**<u>Proof:</u>** 1 $\Rightarrow$ 2: Clearly from the definition of  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

2 $\Rightarrow$ 1: Since R is reduced and regular then R is strongly regular ring, and y [Theorem 4.4] R is γ-regular ring.

### Theorem 4.7:

Let R be a ring satisfies condition (\*). Then R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring if and only if every principal ideal of R is generated by an idempotent.

<u>Proof:</u> If R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then its clearly that every principal right ideal of R is generated by an idempotent, [13].

Conversely: If aR=eR, where e is an idempotent element. Then a=er for some r in R. Now a=er= $e^2$ r=ea. Let e=ab for some b in R, since R satisfies condition (\*), then e=b<sup>n</sup>a for some positive integer n > 1.

Now  $a=ea=b^na.a=b^na^2$ . Similarly for Ra=Re. Then R is strongly regular. herefore R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring, [Theorem 4.4].

## **Proposition 4.8:**

Let R be a ring satisfies condition (\*). Then the following are equivalent:

- 1- R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.
- 2- Every principal ideal is a direct summand.

**Proof:**  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ : Clearly, from [4; Proposition 1.1.3].

2⇒1: Let R=aR⊕K for some ideal K of R, it's clear that  $a \ne 1$  because if a=1 then  $R = 1 \cdot R \oplus \{0\}$ , the proof being trivial. Since  $1 \in R$  then 1 = ar + k for some  $r \in R$  and  $k \in K$ . Since R satisfies condition (\*) then  $ar = r^n a$ ; and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$ .

Then  $1=r^na+k$  imply a=a  $r^na+ak$  and  $ak \in aR \cap K=0$ . So a=a  $r^na$ . Therefore R s  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

### Remark 4.9:

If we add the condition that R satisfies condition (\*) in [Corollary 3.3], then the converse holds.

## Theorem 4.10:

If R is a reduced ring satisfies condition (\*). Then R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring if and only if for every element  $a \in R$ , a=eu, where e is an idempotent and u is unit.

<u>Proof:</u> If R is reduced  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then a=eu, where e is an idempotent and u is unit [Corollary 3.3].

Conversely: Let a=ue, where e is idempotent and u is unit, then e=ra where r is the inverse of u.

Now ae=ara, but ae=ue.e=ue<sup>2</sup>=a, then a=ara which is regular. Therefore, y [Theorem 4.6] R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

# **Proposition 4.11:**

Let R be a ring satisfies condition (\*), then R is  $\gamma$ -regular if and only if r(a) is direct summand for every a in R.

<u>Proof:</u> If R is  $\gamma$ -regular, then r(a) is direct summand for every a in R [Proposition 2.17].

Conversely: Let R=aR+r(a). In particular 1=ar+d, where  $r \in R$  and  $d \in r(a)$ , then  $a=a^2r+ad$  imply  $a=a^2r$  and hence  $a=a^2r=aar=ar^na$  for some ositive integer n>1. Therefore R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

# Theorem 4.12:

Let R be a ring satisfies condition (\*). Then the following are equivalent:

1- R is γ-regular ring.

2- For every right ideal I and left ideal J in R, IJ=I∩J.

<u>Proof:</u> 1 $\Rightarrow$ 2: Since every γ-regular is regular, then 2 holds by [10; Theorem 1.1.7].

2⇒1: Let x in R, since x is in  $xR \cap Rx = xRx$ , there is an element y in R such that xyx = x. Since R satisfies condition (\*), then  $x = y^n x^2$  for some positive integer n > 1. So R is strongly regular. By [Theorem 4.4] R is -regular ring.

### Theorem 4.13:

Let R be a reduced ring satisfies condition (\*). If every ideal of R is a maximal right ideal, then R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

**Proof:** Since R is reduced and every prime ideal is maximal right ideal, then R is regular ring [8], and since R satisfies condition (\*) nd s reduced, then by [Theorem 4.6] R is γ-regular ring.

#### Corollary 4.14:

Let R be a reduced ring satisfies condition (\*). Then R is a  $\gamma$ -regular ring if R/P is  $\gamma$ -regular ring for every prime ideal P in R.

**Proof:** Let P be a prime ideal in R, then R/P is a division ring, because R/P is a  $\gamma$ -regular and has no nonzero divisor. Therefore P is maximal right(left)ideal in R and Ris a  $\gamma$ -regular ring[Theorem4.13].

#### Theorem 4.15:

Let R be a ring satisfies condition (\*). Then R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring if and only if  $I = \sqrt{I}$  for each ideal I in R.

<u>Proof:</u> Suppose that R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring, its clearly that  $I \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  for each ideal I in R. Now let  $b \in \sqrt{I}$  then  $b^n \in I$  for some  $n \in Z^+$ , then there exists  $c \in R$  and  $1 \neq r \in Z^+$  such that  $b^n = b^n c^r b^n$ . Since R satisfies condition (\*), then  $b^n c^r = (c^r)^m b^n$  for some positive integer m > 1. That is  $b^n = c^{mr} b^{2n}$ . So  $b^{n-1}$  can be

written in the form  $b^{n-1} = c^{mr}b^{2(n-1)} = c^{mr}b^n b^{n-2} \in I$ , and we repeat this n-times we get  $b \in I$ , then  $\sqrt{I} \subseteq I$ . Hence  $I = \sqrt{I}$ .

Conversely: Let  $I = \sqrt{I}$  for each ideal I in R. Take  $I = a^2 R = \sqrt{a^2 R}$  then  $a^2 \in a^2 R \Rightarrow a \in \sqrt{a^2 R} = a^2 R \Rightarrow a \in a^2 R$ . Hence R is strongly regular ring. herefore by [Theorem 4.4] R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

#### Corollary 4.16:

Let R be a ring satisfies condition (\*). Then R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring if and only if each ideal I in R is semi-prime.

#### Theorem 4.17:

If R is a reduced ring satisfies condition (\*) and every maximal ideal of R is a right annihilator, then R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

**Proof:** Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we shall prove that  $a\mathbb{R}+r(a)=\mathbb{R}$ . If not, there exists a maximal right ideal M containing  $a\mathbb{R}+r(a)$ . If M=r(b) for some  $0 \neq b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $b \in I(a\mathbb{R}+r(a)) \subseteq I(a)=r(a)[10]$ ; Theorem 1.3.10], which implies  $b \in M=r(b)$ , then  $b^2=0$  and b=0, a contradiction. Therefore  $a\mathbb{R}+r(a)=\mathbb{R}$ . In particular, ac+d=1, with  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $d \in r(a)$ , then  $a^2c+ad=a$  implies  $a^2c=a$ , then  $\mathbb{R}$  is strongly regular ring.

Therefore R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring [Theorem4.4].

### Theorem 4.18:

Let R is a reduced ring satisfies condition (\*) such that every principal right ideal of R is a right annihilator, then R is γ-regular ring.

Proof: Since R is reduced and every principal right ideal of R is a right annihilator, then by [10; Theorem 1..3.10] R is strongly regular ring, and since R satisfies condition (\*) then by [Theorem 4.4] R is γ-regular ring

### 5:Strongly γ-Regular Rings:

In this section we introduce another new type of rings that [Proposition 4.1], [Corollary 4.2] and [Corollary 4.3] leads us to define it and we shall call those rings as a strongly  $\gamma$ -regular rings.

### **Definition 5.1:**

Let R be any ring. Then R is called rig strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring if for every element  $a \in R$ , there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a=a^2b^n$ .

Hence, in a strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring R,  $a=a^2b^n$  if and only if  $a=b^na^2$ ,[5].

In a commutative ring, the equation  $ab^na=a$  may be written as  $a^2b^n=a$ . That is, a commutative ring R is  $\gamma$ -regular if and only if it is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular. We see that every strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring is strongly regular ring, however the converse is not true in general, for examples the rings (Q,+,.) of rational numbers, the rational(real) Hamilton Quaternion and a quadratic field are strongly regulars but not strongly  $\gamma$ -regulars.

### Theorem 5.2:

Let R be a strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring and I be an ideal of R. Then R/I is also strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

**Proof:** Let  $a+I \in R/I$ , so  $a \in R$ . Since R is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring then there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a = a^2 b^n$ .

Hence  $a+I=a^2b^n+I=(a^2+I)(b^n+I)=(a+I)^2(b+I)^n$ . Therefore R/I is strongly -regular ring.

## Theorem 5.3:

A homomorphic image of strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

**Proof:** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem [2.8].

Here we want to find the condition for strongly regular ring to be strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

#### Theorem 5.4:

Let R be a ring satisfies condition (\*), then the following are equivalent:

- 1- R is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring.
- 2- R is strongly regular ring.

**<u>Proof:</u>**  $1\Rightarrow 2$ : Clearly from the definition of strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

2⇒1: Since R is strongly regular ring, then for every a ∈ R, there exists b ∈ R such that  $a=a^2b$ .

Now since R satisfies condition (\*), then for every  $a,b \in R$ ,  $ab=b^na$  for some positive integer n > 1. Then  $a=ab^na$ , and since R is strongly regular then R is reduced, implies  $a=a^2b^n$ . Therefore R is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

#### Theorem 5.5:

If R is a regular ring satisfies condition (\*), then R is strongly  $\gamma$ regular ring.

**Proof:** Since R is regular ring, then for every  $a \in R$ , there exists  $b \in R$  such that a=aba. Since R satisfies condition (\*), then  $ab=b^na$  with a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  for every  $a,b \in R$ . Then  $a=a^2b^n$ . Therefore R is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

Here we lead to discus the connection between  $\gamma$ -regular rings and strongly  $\gamma$ -regular rings.

**Theorem 5.6:** Every strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

**Proof:** Since R is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then for every  $a \in R$  there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a = a^2b^n = b^na^2$ .

Now if  $a=b^na^2$ , then  $ab^n=(b^na^2)b^n=b^n(a^2b^n)=b^na$ . This implies  $ab^na=c^na^2=a$ , then  $a=ab^na$ . Therefore R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

The converse of this theorem is not true in general. For example the ring  $R_{2x2}(Z_2)$  of 2x2 matrices over the ring  $Z_2$  is  $\gamma$ -regular ring but not strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring because the element  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is  $\gamma$ -regular element but not strongly  $\gamma$ -regular element.

#### Theorem 5.7:

Let R be a ring. Then R is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular if and only if R is reduced  $\gamma$ -regular.

<u>Proof:</u> Suppose that R is reduced  $\gamma$ -regular, then for every  $a \in R$  there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a=ab^na$ .

Now  $(a-a^2b^n)^2 = (a-a^2b^n)(a-a^2b^n) = a^2-a^3b^n-a^2b^na+a^2b^na^2b^n = a^2-a^3b^n-a.ab^na+a.ab^na.ab^n = a^2-a^3b^n-a.a+a.a.ab^n = a^2-a^3b^n-a^2+a^3b^n=0$ . Since R is reduced then  $a-a^2b^n=0$ , and then  $a=a^2b^n$ . Similarly  $(a-b^na^2)^2=0$  which implies  $a=b^na^2$ . Therefore R is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular.

Conversely: Suppose that R is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then by [Theorem 5.6] R is  $\gamma$ -regular ring. To prove that R is a reduced ring, suppose that there exists a positive integer n, such that  $c^n=0$  for some  $c \in R$ . Sine  $c=c^2d^m$  for sum positive integer  $m \ne 1$  gives  $0=c^nd^m=c^{n-1}$  and  $0=c^{n-1}d^m=c^{n-2}$  and so on c=0, then R is a reduced ring. Therefore R is a reduced  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

### Corollary 5.8:

If R is a strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then R is a unit regular ring.

**Proof:** Since every strongly  $\gamma$ -regular is reduced  $\gamma$ -regular [Theorem 5.7], and since every reduced ring is semi-commutative ring, then by [Theorem 2.15] R is a unit regular ring.

#### Corollary 5.9:

If a ring R is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular, then  $a^n$  is a unit  $\gamma$ -regular element for each  $a \in R$  and positive integer n > 1.

**Proof:** Let R be a strongly  $\gamma$ -regular, then R is  $\gamma$ -regular and reduced [Theorem 5.7], and hence R is semi-commutative ring. Therefore by [Theorem 3.1]  $a^n$  is a unit  $\gamma$ -regular element.

#### Theorem 5.10:

Let R be a ring. If R is semi-commutative  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then R/N is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

**Proof:** since R is  $\gamma$ -regular then for each  $a \in R$ , there exists  $b \in R$  and a positive integer  $m \ne 1$  such that  $a = ab^m a$ . Let  $e = ab^m$ , then e is idempotent and hence central, then a = ae = ea.

Now a(1-e)=0 implies  $(a(1-e))^n=0$ , this means that  $a(1-e)\in N$ , so a+N=ae+NThus  $a+N=aab^m+N=a^2b^m+N$ , yielding  $a+N=(a^2+N)(b^m+N)$ . Therefore R/N is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

### Lemma 5.11:

Let R be a strongly γ-regular ring. Then R is semi-commutative ring.

Proof: From [Theorem 5.7].

## **Proposition 5.12:**

Let R be a semi-commutative  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then R is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

**<u>Proof:</u>** Let R be a  $\gamma$ -regular ring, and let a be a non-zero element in R, then there exists b in R and a positive integer  $n \neq 1$  such that  $a = ab^n a$ .

Let  $e = ab^n$ , then e is an idempotent element, and hence e is central (Since R is semi-commutative ring). So  $a = ea = ae = a^2b^n$ , and if  $e=b^na$  then e is also an idempotent element, and hence e is central. So  $a=ae=ea=b^na^2$ . Therefore R is a strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

### Corollary 5.13:

Let R be a duo γ-regular ring, then R is strongly γ-regular ring.

Proof: Since R is duo ring, then every idempotent element is central

[18;Lemma1.1.9]. Hence R is semi-commutative ring. Also R is a γ-regular ring, then by [Proposition 5.12], R is strongly γ-regular ring.

From [Theorem 2.10] we conclude the following:

### Theorem 5.14:

Let R be a reduced ring. If R/r(a) is  $\gamma$ -regular ring for all  $a \in R$ , then R is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular ring.

**Proof:** Suppose that R/r(a) is  $\gamma$ -regular ring, then for any  $a+r(a) \in R/r(a)$ , there exists  $b+r(a) \in R/r(a)$  and a positive integer  $n \ne 1$  such that  $a+r(a) = (a+r(a))(b+r(a))^n(a+r(a)) = ab^na+r(a)$ . Then  $a-ab^na \in r(a) \Rightarrow a(a-ab^na)=0 \Rightarrow a^2(1-b^na)=0$ .

Then $(1-b^n a) \in r(a^2) = r(a) = l(a)([4:Lemma 1.3.6] and [4:Lemma 1.3.4]) \Rightarrow$   $(1-b^n a)a = 0 \Rightarrow a = b^n a^2, \text{ and from } (1-b^n a)a = 0 \Rightarrow (1-b^n a) \in l(a) = r(a)[Lemma 1.2.13],$ then  $a-ab^n a = 0 \Rightarrow (1-ab^n)a = 0 \Rightarrow (1-ab^n) \in l(a) = r(a) \Rightarrow a(1-ab^n) = 0$  then  $a=a^2b^n$ .

## **Theorem 5.15:**

Let R be a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring. Then  $a^n$  is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular elements for every  $a \in R$  and  $n \in Z^+$ .

**<u>Proof:</u>** Let R be a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring, then for every  $a \in R$  there exists  $b \in R$  and  $n \in Z^+$  such that  $a^n = a^{2n} b^n$ .

Hence  $a^n$  is strongly  $\gamma$ -regular element.

Therefore R is strongly γ-regular ring.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Alkouri M.R.M., On  $\pi$ -Regular Rings, M.Sc. Thesis, Mosul University, (1996).
- [2] Arens R.F. and Kaplansky I., Topological Representation of Algebras, Trans Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1998), 457-481.
- [3] Azumaya G. , Strongly  $\pi$ -Regular Rings, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Vol. 13(1954), 34-39.
- [4] Chiba K. and Tominaga H., On Strongly Regular Rings, Proc. Japan Acad., Vol. 49(1973), 435-437.
- [5] Drazen M.P., Rings with Central Idempotent or Nillpotent elements, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 9 (1958), 157-165.
- [6] Ehrlich G., Unit Regular Rings, Portugal. Math. Vol. 27(1968), 209-212.
- [7] Forythe A. and McCoy N.H., On The Commutativity of Certain Rings, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 52(1946), 523-526.
- [8] L. T. Y., A First Course in Noncommutative Rings, Springer Verlag, New York, Inc., (1991).
- [9] Luh J., A note on strongly regular rings, Proc. Japan Acad., Vol. 40(1964), 74-75.
- [10] Mahmood A.S., On Von Neumann Regular Rings, M. Sc. Thesis, Mosul University (1990).
- [11] Naoum A.G. and Kider J.R., Commutative  $\pi$ -Regular Rings, Iraq J. Sci., Vol. 35, No. 4 (1994), 1169 1184.
- [12] Naoum F.S., On  $\pi$ -Regular Rings, Ph. D. Thesis, Mosul University, (2004).
- [13] Neumann J.V., Regular Rings, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. Vol. 22(1936), 707-713.
- [14] Vasantha Kandasamy W.B., On Quasi-Commutative Rings, Aribb. J. Math. Comput. Sci. Vol. 5, No. 1&2(1995), 22-24.
- [15] Wong E.T., Regular Rings and Integral Extension of a Regular Rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 11, No. 2(1972), 313-315.