

## Modified shifted variable metric algorithms for solving unconstrained minimization problems

**Prof Dr. Abbas Y. AL-Bayati**

Department of mathematics,  
College of Computers Sciences and Mathematics  
University of Mosul

**Basim A. Hassan**

**Received**  
**26 / 02 / 2009**

**Accepted**  
**05 / 05 / 2009**

$$A_k \quad \xi_k \succ 0, \quad k \geq 1 \quad H_k = \xi_k I + A_k,$$

$$A_{k+1}y_k^* = \rho_k^* \tilde{v}_k$$

$$\rho_k^* = y_k^{*T} A_k y_k^* / v_k^T y_k^*, \quad y_k^* = y_k + m_k v_k, \quad \tilde{v}_k = v_k - \xi_k y_k^*$$

BFGS                    BFGS

### Abstract

In this paper, we propose a modified version of the shifted VM algorithms where the matrices  $H_k$  have the form  $H_k = \xi_k I + A_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$  where  $\xi_k \succ 0$  and  $A_k$  are symmetric positive semi definite matrices, usually  $A_1 = 0$ ,  $A_{k+1}$  is obtained from  $A_k$  to satisfy the shifted modified quasi-Newton condition ,we consider our new QN-condition the form  $A_{k+1}y_k^* = \rho_k^* \tilde{v}_k$ ,  $\tilde{v}_k = v_k - \xi_k y_k^*$  ,  $y_k^* = y_k + m_k v_k$  where  $\rho_k^* = y_k^{*T} A_k y_k^* / v_k^T y_k^*$ , and we derive of the modified algorithms.

Experimental results indicate that the new proposed algorithms were efficient than the both standard BFGS & the shifted BFGS-algorithms.

## 1. Introduction :

Consider the unconstrained optimization problem :

$$\min \{f(x) \mid x \in R^n\} \quad \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

where  $f$  is a continuously differentiable function of  $n$  variables. Quasi-Newton methods for solving (1) often need the new search direction  $d_{k+1}$  at each iteration defined by:

$$d_k = -H_k g_k \quad \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

where  $g_{k+1} = \nabla f(x_{k+1})$  is the gradient of  $f$  evaluated at the current iterate  $x_{k+1}$ . [8] One then computes the next iterate by

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k \quad \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

where the step size  $\alpha_k$  satisfies the Wolfe – Powell (WP) conditions

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) \leq f(x_k) + \delta_1 \alpha_k d_k^T g_k \quad \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

$$g(x_k + \alpha_k d_k)^T d_k \geq \delta_2 d_k^T g_k \quad \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

where  $\delta_1 \prec 1/2$  and  $\delta_1 \prec \delta_2 \prec 1$ , and  $H_{k+1}$  is an approximation to  $\{\nabla^2 f(x_k)\}^{-1}$ . The matrix  $H_{k+1}$  satisfies standard the quasi-Newton condition

$$H_{k+1} y_k = v_k \quad \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

where  $y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k$ ,  $v_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$ , and  $B_k = H_k^{-1}$  see [2]. The standard Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) update can be separated into two components ,  $H^{(1)}$  and  $H^{(2)}$  so that:

$$H_{BFSG} = H^{(1)} + H^{(2)} \quad \dots\dots\dots(7)$$

where

$$H^{(1)} = H_k - \frac{H_k y_k v_k^T + v_k y_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k} + \frac{v_k v_k^T}{v_k^T y_k} \begin{bmatrix} y_k^T H_k y_k \\ v_k^T y_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad H^{(2)} = \frac{v_k v_k^T}{v_k^T y_k}$$

In [4] the BFGS update which can be written in the product form:

$$H_{k+1} = (I - \vartheta_k v_k y_k^T) H_k (I - \vartheta_k y_k v_k^T) + \vartheta_k v_k v_k^T \quad \dots\dots\dots(8)$$

where

$$\vartheta_k = \frac{1}{v_k^T y_k} \quad \dots\dots\dots(9)$$

AL- Bayati's [1] self-scaling VM-update, can be expressed in the product form as follows

$$H_{k+1} = (I - \vartheta_k v_k y_k^T) H_k (I - \vartheta_k y_k v_k^T) + \rho_k \vartheta_k v_k v_k^T \quad \dots\dots\dots(10)$$

where

$$\vartheta_k = \frac{1}{v_k^T y_k} \quad \& \quad \rho_k = \frac{y_k^T H_k y_k}{v_k^T y_k} \quad \dots\dots\dots(11)$$

In [5] Li & Fukushima proposed a new Quasi -Newton equation defined by:

$$H_{k+1}y_k^* = v_k \quad \dots\dots\dots(12)$$

where

$$y_k^* = y_k + m_k v_k \quad \dots\dots\dots(13)$$

where  $m_k$  is a positive constant. They choose  $m_k$  to be a very small number, for example  $m \leq 10^{-6}$ .

## 2. Amodified Shifted variable metric algorithms :

Variable metric algorithms, use symmetric positive defined matrices  $H_k$  or  $B_k = H_k^{-1}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , usually  $H_1 = I$  and  $H_{k+1}$  is obtained from  $H_k$  by a rank-two VM update to satisfy the quasi-Newton condition  $H_{k+1}y_k^* = \rho_k^* v_k$ , where  $y_k^* = y_k + m_k v_k$ ,  $\rho^*$  is any scalar.

In shifted BFGS algorithm, matrices  $H_k$  have the form:

$$H_k = \xi_k I + A_k \quad , k \geq 1 \quad \dots\dots\dots(14)$$

where  $\xi_k > 0$  and  $A_k$  are symmetric positive semi definite matrices, usually  $A_1 = 0$ ,  $A_{k+1}$  and is obtained from  $A_k$  by a rank-two VM update to satisfy the shifted modified quasi-Newton condition, we consider it usually in the form

$$A_{k+1}y_k^* = \rho_k^* \tilde{v}_k \quad , \quad \tilde{v}_k = v_k - \xi_k y_k^* \quad , \quad y_k^* = y_k + m_k v_k \quad \dots\dots\dots(15)$$

where  $\rho_k^* > 0$  is a non quadratic correction parameter.[6]

Relation (14) & (15) imply that matrix  $H_{k+1}$  satisfies the quasi-Newton condition  $H_{k+1}y_k^* = \rho_k^* v_k$ . To simplify the notation we often omit index  $k$  and replace index  $k+1$  by symbols  $+$ . In the subsequent analysis we use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= y^{*T} H y^* \quad ; \quad \bar{a} = y^{*T} A y^* \quad ; \quad \hat{a} = y^{*T} \hat{y}^* \quad ; \quad b = v^T y^* \\ \bar{b} &= v^T B A y^* \quad ; \quad \tilde{b} = \tilde{v}_k^T y^* \quad ; \quad \bar{c} = v^T B A B v. \end{aligned} \quad \dots\dots\dots(16)$$

Involving the scaling and the non quadratic correction and using the same argumentation as in standard VM methods, we can write the new modified-update for  $\tilde{b} > 0$  (which implies  $\tilde{v} \neq 0$ ,  $y^* \neq 0$ ) in the form

$$A_{+}^{\text{modified (1)}} = A + \left( \rho + \frac{\bar{a}}{\tilde{b}} \right) \frac{\tilde{v} \tilde{v}^T}{\tilde{b}} - \frac{\tilde{v} y^{*T} A + A y^{*T} \tilde{v}}{\tilde{b}} \quad \text{where } \rho = \frac{\hat{a}}{\tilde{b}} \quad \dots\dots\dots(17)$$

The shifted modified AL-Bayati-update which can be written in the product form:

$$A_+^{\text{modified (1)}} = (I - \mathcal{G}^* \tilde{v} \tilde{y}^{*T}) A (I - \mathcal{G}^* \tilde{y}^* \tilde{v}^T) + \rho^* \mathcal{G}^* \tilde{v} \tilde{v}^T \quad \dots \dots \dots (18 \text{ a})$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}^* = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}^T \tilde{y}^*} \quad , \quad \rho^* = \mathcal{G}^* \tilde{y}^{*T} A \tilde{y}^* \quad \dots \dots \dots (18 \text{ b})$$

We replace  $\tilde{y}^*$  by  $y$ . Then update (18) will be replaced by the following modified (2) algorithm

$$A_+^{\text{modified (2)}} = (I - \mathcal{G} \tilde{v} \tilde{y}^T) A (I - \mathcal{G} \tilde{y} \tilde{v}^T) + \rho \mathcal{G} \tilde{v} \tilde{v}^T \quad \dots \dots \dots (19 \text{ a})$$

where

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}^T \tilde{y}} \quad , \quad \rho = \mathcal{G} \tilde{y}^T A \tilde{y} \quad \dots \dots \dots (19 \text{ b})$$

In [6] the parameter  $\xi_+$  should satisfy inequality  $0 < \xi_+ < b/a$ . Therefore, it is advantageous introduce relative shift parameter  $\hat{\theta} = \xi_k \frac{a}{b} \in (0,1)$  and by (15) we can write

$$\xi_+ = \hat{\theta} \frac{b}{a} \quad , \quad \tilde{b} = \tilde{v}^T \tilde{y} = b - \xi_+ a = b(1 - \hat{\theta}) \quad \dots \dots \dots (20)$$

$$\mu = \min \left\{ \max \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{b}{a}} / \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 - b^2/a} \|s\|^2 \right), 0.2 \right), 0.8 \right\} \quad \dots \dots \dots (21)$$

### 3. Derivation of the modified Shifted algorithms :

Standard VM methods can be obtained by solving a certain variational problem-we find an update of VM matrix see[6]. Using the product form of the update, we can extend this approach to VM- methods. First we give the following theorem, where the shifted modified quasi-Newton condition  $U_+ U_+^T y^* = A_+ y^* = \rho^* \tilde{v}$  is equivalently replaced by

$$U_+^T y^* = z, \quad U_+ Z = \rho^* \tilde{v}, \quad z^T z = \rho^* \tilde{b} \quad \dots \dots \dots (22)$$

where  $U_+, k \geq 1$ , is a rectangular metric and  $\rho_k^* = y_k^{*T} A_k y_k^* / v_k^T y_k^*$ .

**Theorem (3.1) :** Let  $T$  be a symmetric positive definite matrix,  $z \in R^n$  and denote  $Y$  the set of  $N * m$  matrices. Then the unique solution to

$$\min \{ \phi(U_+) : U_+ \in Y \} \quad S.t. : (22), \quad \phi(U_+) = y^{*T} T y^* \|T^{-1/2} (U_+ - U)\|_F^2 \quad \dots \dots \dots (23)$$

(Frobenius matrix norm) is

$$U_+ = U - \frac{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}^*}{\mathbf{y}^{*T}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}^*} \mathbf{y}^{*T} \mathbf{U} + \left( \rho^* \tilde{\mathbf{v}} - \mathbf{U}\mathbf{z} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{*T}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{y}^{*T}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}^*} \mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}^* \right) \frac{\mathbf{z}^T}{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}} \quad .....(24)$$

and for this solution the value of  $\phi(U_+)$  is

$$\phi(U_+) = \left| U^T y^* - z \right|^2 + \frac{y^{*T} T y^*}{z^T z} v^T T^{-1} v, \quad v = \rho^* \tilde{v} - U z + \frac{y^{*T} U z}{y^{*T} T y^*} T y^* \quad ....(25)$$

**Proof :** Setting  $U_+ = (u_1^+, \dots, u_m^+)$ , define Lagrange function

$$L = L(U_+, e_1, e_2) \text{ as}$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \phi(U_+) + e_1^T (U_+^T y^* - z) + e_2^T (U_+ z - \rho^* v) \quad .....(26)$$

$$= -e_1^T z - \rho^* e_2^T v + \sum_{k=1}^m \left[ \frac{y^T T y^*}{2} (u_k^+ - u_k)^{-1} T^{-1} (u_k^+ - u_k) + e_1^T y^T u_k^+ + z e_2^T u_k^+ \right] \quad ... (27)$$

a local minimizer  $U_+$  satisfied the equation  $\partial L/\partial u_k^+ = 0, k = 1, \dots, m$ , which gives  $y^{*T} Ty^* T^{-1}(u_k^+ - u_k) + e_1 y + z e_2 = 0, k = 1, \dots, m$ , and yielding

$$U_+ = U - \frac{T\bar{y}^*}{\bar{y}^{*T}\bar{y}^*} e_1^T - \frac{Te_2}{\bar{y}^{*T}\bar{y}^*} z^T. \quad .....(28)$$

Using the first condition in (22), we have  $e_1 = U^T y^* - (1 + y^{*T} T e_2 / y^{*T} T y^*) z$ .

Substituting this  $e_i$  to (28), we obtain  $U_+ = U - Ty^* y^{*T} U / y^{*T} T y^* + \bar{e} z^T$  with some vector  $\bar{e}$ . The second condition in (22) yields

$$\bar{e} = \frac{1}{z^T z} \left( \rho^* \tilde{v} - Uz - \frac{z^T z - y^{*T} Uz}{y^{*T} Ty^*} Ty^* \right) = \frac{v}{z^T z} \quad .....(29)$$

and by using (24) it follows. Matrix  $U_+$  obtained in this way minimizes  $\phi$  in view of convexity of Frobenius norm.. Furthemore, we get

$$e - \frac{Ty^*}{\gamma^{*T} Ty^*} = \frac{1}{z^T z} \left( \rho^* \tilde{v} - Uz - \frac{z^T z - y^{*T} Uz}{\gamma^{*T} Ty^*} Ty^* \right) = \frac{\nu}{z^T z} \quad .....(30)$$

by (22) & (25), thus by (24) and  $v^T y = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\phi(U_+)}{y^{*T} Ty^*} &= \left\| T^{-1/2} \left( \frac{Ty^*}{y^{*T} Ty^*} y^{*T} U - \bar{e} z^T \right) \right\|_F^2 \\
&= \left\| T^{-1/2} \left( \frac{Ty^*}{y^{*T} Ty^*} (U^T y^* - z)^T - \frac{\nu}{z^T z} z^T \right) \right\|_F^2 \\
&= Tr \left( \frac{(U^T y^* - z)(U^T y^* - z)^T}{y^{*T} Ty^*} + \frac{\nu^T T^{-1} \nu}{(z^T z)^2} z z^T \right) \\
\frac{\phi(U_+)}{y^{*T} Ty^*} &= \frac{|U^T y^* - z|^2}{y^{*T} Ty^*} + \frac{\nu^T T^{-1} \nu}{z^T z}.
\end{aligned}$$

The advantage of variationally- derived update formula (24) consists in possibility of parameters choice. To use Theorem (3.1) for the shifted AL-Bayati update, we replace  $y^*$  by  $y$ . Then update (24) will be replaced by

$$U_+ = U - \frac{Ty}{y^T Ty} y^T U + \left( \rho \tilde{v} - Uz + \frac{y^T U z}{y^T Ty} Ty \right) \frac{z^T}{z^T z} \quad \dots\dots\dots(31)$$

Thus the general form of the modified algorithm (24) can be rewritten, using (22):

$$U_+ = \frac{\tilde{v} z^T}{\tilde{b}} + \left( I - \frac{Ty^* y^{*T}}{y^{*T} Ty^*} \right) U \left( I - \frac{zz^T}{z^T z} \right). \quad \dots\dots\dots(32)$$

Since  $z^T(I - zz^T / z^T z) = 0$  and  $(I - zz^T / z^T z)^2 = I - zz^T / z^T z$ , this yields

$$A_+ = \rho^* \frac{\tilde{v} v}{\tilde{b}} + \left( I - \frac{Ty^* y^{*T}}{y^{*T} Ty^*} \right) U \left( I - \frac{zz^T}{z^T z} \right) U^T \left( I - \frac{y^* y^{*T} T}{y^{*T} Ty^*} \right) \quad \dots\dots\dots(33)$$

by  $A_+ = U_+ U_+^T$ . Usually  $Ty^* = \tilde{v}$  &  $\rho_k^* = y_k^{*T} A_k y_k^* / v_k^T y_k^*$ . This choice gives the modified algorithms if  $z = 0$ .

$$A_+ = \rho^* \frac{\tilde{v} v}{\tilde{b}} + \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{v} y^{*T}}{\tilde{b}} \right) A \left( 1 - \frac{y^* \tilde{v}}{\tilde{b}} \right). \quad \dots\dots\dots(34)$$

### Theorem (3.2) :

Let  $A$  be positive semi definite, and  $\xi_+ \hat{a} \prec b$ . Then matrix  $A_+$  given by (18) is positive semi definite.

**Proof:** Since  $\tilde{b} = \tilde{v}^T$ ,  $y = b - \xi_+ \hat{a}$   $\succ 0$ , It is evident from (18) that  $A_+ = Z^T Z + L$  where  $L = \mathcal{G}_k v_k^T v_k$  and  $Z = A_k^{1/2} (I - \mathcal{G}_k \tilde{v}_k y_k^T)$ . Since both  $Z^T Z$  &  $L$  are positive semi definite, so is  $A_+$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_k = 1/\tilde{b}$ .

### 3.2 Outlines of the modified (1) and (2) Shifted Algorithms :

The outline of the algorithm as follows:

Step 0 : Choose an initial point  $x_1 \in R^n$ ,  $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$ , set  $k = 1$ .

Step 1 : If  $\|g_k\| \leq \epsilon$ , stop .

Step 2 : Compute the search direction  $d_k = -A_k g_k$ .

Step 3 : Find  $\alpha_k$  by (WP) step size rule (4) and (5) .

Step 4 : Generate a new iterated point by  $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$  and calculate the updating formula , where  $A_k$  as given in (18) or (19).

Step 5 : Set  $k = k + 1$  and go to Step 12 .

#### **4. Numerical Results :**

In this section, we have been reported the numerical results for the new formulae (18) & (19). We tested, using the collection of problems for general sparse and separable unconstrained optimization test problems. From [7], we have used the dimension of the problem (N), N=10,100,1000,10000,100000. For each problem, we choose the initial matrix  $A_{k+1} = \rho_k \frac{v_k v_k^T}{v_k^T y_k}$ . These algorithms use the cubic fitting technique which satisfy Wolfe-Powell (WP) condition as a line search technique in which  $\delta_1 = 0.0001$   $\delta_2 = 0.2$ .

We will test the following VM-algorithms.

1. The standard BFGS algorithm .
2. Shifted BFGS algorithm .
3. Modified algorithm (1) defined by (18).
4. Modified algorithm (2) defined by (19) .

Table (4-1) shows the computational results, where the columns have the following meanings:

Problem : the name of the test problem .

NOI : number of iterations .

NOF : number of function evaluations .

F : value of the objective function at the point  $x$  .

G : gradient of the objective function at the point  $x$  .

From Table (4-1), we observed that the average performances of the formula (18) & formula (19) are better than the standard BFGS and for unconstrained minimization problems.

**Table (4-1)**  
Comparison results of all algorithm as a total of (22) test functions.

Standard BFGS				Shifted BFGS		
N	NOI	NOF	TIME	NOI	NOF	TIME
<b>10</b>	40	290	0:00:00.02	45	297	0:00:00.02
<b>100</b>	96	358	0:00:00.03	59	334	0:00:00.03
<b>1000</b>	39	287	0:00:00.22	46	298	0:00:00.22
<b>10000</b>	42	293	0:00:02.33	46	299	0:00:02.33
<b>100000</b>	40	300	0:00:21.89	51	306	0:00:21.04
<b>Total 5</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>1528</b>	<b>0:00:24.49</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>1534</b>	<b>0:00:23.64</b>
Modified (1)				Modified (2)		
N	NOI	NOF	TIME	NOI	NOF	TIME
<b>10</b>	41	291	0:00:00.02	41	291	0:00:00.02
<b>100</b>	54	340	0:00:00.03	49	338	0:00:00.03
<b>1000</b>	43	297	0:00:00.20	43	297	0:00:00.20
<b>10000</b>	39	299	0:00:02.33	39	299	0:00:02.34
<b>100000</b>	47	298	0:00:20.52	47	298	0:00:20.56
<b>Total 5</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>1525</b>	<b>0:00:23.10</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>1523</b>	<b>0:00:23.15</b>

## Modified shifted variable metric algorithms for solving unconstrained ...

---

The details of these results are fully described in the subsequent tables.

**Table (4-2)**

<b>Algorithm</b>	<b>Standard BFGS      N=10</b>			
<b>PROBLEM</b>	<b>NOI</b>	<b>NOF</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>
1	3	18	38.2409094	0.914E+02
2	1	12	36943.1000	0.228E+05
3	2	15	1451.64654	0.928E+03
4	2	15	135.480501	0.281E+03
5	2	15	11.5052711	0.171E+02
6	4	19	0.209316523	0.227E+01
7	2	15	15.4996348	0.145E+02
8	1	12	53.8716349	0.307E+03
9	1	3	19.8146467	0.219E+02
10	1	12	-74.3037218	0.444E+02
11	2	15	536.191313	0.632E+03
12	5	22	126.378863	0.939E+02
13	2	15	0.490077684	0.934E+00
14	1	12	0.788519101E-03	0.299E-01
15	1	12	2.69650325	0.596E+00
16	1	12	32.8566120	0.865E+01
17	4	15	0.692944009E-01	0.562E+00
18	1	12	0.124445052	0.304E+00
19	1	12	61.8160567	0.525E+01
20	1	12	0.892519217	0.121E+01
21	1	3	2.77353532	0.347E+00
22	1	12	16.4797549	0.107E+03
	40	290		

TIME= 0:00:00.02

**Table (4-3)**

<b>Algorithm</b>	<b>Standard BFGS      N=100</b>			
<b>PROBLEM</b>	<b>NOI</b>	<b>NOF</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>
1	3	18	571.266458	0.224E+03
2	1	12	176353.100	0.228E+05
3	2	15	13874.1436	0.621E+03
4	2	15	2401.63238	0.280E+03
5	2	15	29.8378515	0.166E+02
6	5	22	0.567193976	0.324E+01
7	2	15	72.4430234	0.134E+02
8	2	15	2106.68093	0.613E+02
9	56	57	-98.8560279	0.562E-06
10	2	24	-12.0651404	0.209E+02
11	2	15	4565.11518	0.570E+03
12	4	19	2206.20062	0.411E+03
13	2	15	0.499920183	0.995E+00
14	1	12	0.123292512E-05	0.392E-03
15	1	12	2.70244809	0.650E-01
16	1	12	2404.77898	0.844E+02
17	3	14	0.306588390	0.988E+00
18	1	12	0.133219936	0.388E-01
19	1	12	71.2531594	0.339E+02
20	1	12	10.1072709	0.712E+01
21	1	3	2.77217424	0.394E-01
22	1	12	17.9775744	0.237E+02
	96	358		

TIME= 0:00:00.03

**Table (4-4)**

<b>Algorithm</b>	<b>Standard BFGS N=1000</b>			
<b>PROBLEM</b>	<b>NOI</b>	<b>NOF</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>
1	3	18	5815.83263	0.333E+03
2	1	12	1570453.10	0.228E+05
3	2	15	142969.809	0.615E+03
4	2	15	25062.4645	0.280E+03
5	2	15	198.422616	0.165E+02
6	5	20	7.20555307	0.123E+02
7	2	15	656.436702	0.132E+02
8	1	12	811605.408	0.417E+03
9	1	3	600.149240	0.220E+00
10	1	12	-0.677458374	0.409E+02
11	2	15	44342.5823	0.564E+03
12	4	19	21245.1326	0.367E+03
13	2	15	0.499999209	0.999E+00
14	1	12	0.129382924E-08	0.399E-05
15	1	12	2.70251875	0.656E-02
16	1	12	230919.325	0.842E+03
17	3	14	0.346551919	0.104E+01
18	1	12	0.133332170	0.399E-02
19	1	12	146.474353	0.334E+03
20	1	12	101.466723	0.680E+02
21	1	3	2.77215807	0.399E-02
22	1	12	17.9997664	0.258E+01
	39	287		

TIME= 0:00:00.22

**Table (4-5)**

<b>Algorithm</b>	<b>Standard BFGS N=10000</b>			
<b>PROBLEM</b>	<b>NOI</b>	<b>NOF</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>
1	3	18	58036.4398	0.347E+03
2	1	12	15511453.1	0.228E+05
3	2	15	1433914.01	0.614E+03
4	2	15	251670.744	0.280E+03
5	2	15	1887.11490	0.165E+02
6	7	24	0.783070379	0.281E+01
7	2	15	6503.50807	0.131E+02
8	1	12	81093465.3	0.418E+03
9	1	3	6000.01493	0.220E-01
10	3	25	-0.813967853	0.313E+02
11	2	15	442092.563	0.564E+03
12	4	19	211682.548	0.362E+03
13	2	15	0.499999992	0.100E+01
14	0	1	0.130012999E	0.400E-07
15	1	12	2.70251947	0.657E-03
16	1	12	22995597.1	0.841E+04
17	3	14	0.350786442	0.105E+01
18	1	12	0.133333322	0.400E-03
19	1	12	896.496783	0.333E+04
20	1	12	1014.98070	0.677E+03
21	1	3	2.77215791	0.400E-03
22	1	12	17.9999977	0.260E+00
	42	293		

TIME= 0:00:02.33

**Table (4-6)**

<b>Algorithm</b>	<b>Standard BFGS N=100000</b>			
<b>PROBLEM</b>	<b>NOI</b>	<b>NOF</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>
1	3	18	580207.987	0.349E+03
2	1	12	154921453.	0.228E+05
3	2	15	14343354.9	0.614E+03
4	2	15	2517753.54	0.280E+03
5	2	15	18774.4436	0.165E+02
6	5	20	47.9223683	0.405E+02
7	2	15	64975.0381	0.131E+02
8	1	12	0.810843707E+10	0.418E+03
9	1	3	60000.0015	0.220E-02
10	3	36	-0.427345858	0.373E+02
11	2	15	4419590.11	0.564E+03
12	4	19	2116061.34	0.362E+03
13	2	15	0.500000000	0.100E+01
14	0	1	0.130076223E-14	0.400E-09
15	1	12	2.70251948	0.657E-04
16	1	12	0.229859560E+10	0.841E+05
17	3	14	0.351212385	0.105E+01
18	1	12	0.133333333	0.400E-04
19	1	12	8396.49903	0.333E+05
20	1	12	10150.1124	0.677E+04
21	1	3	2.77215791	0.400E-04
22	1	12	18.0000000	0.260E-01
	40	300		

TIME= 0:00:22.13

**Table (4-7)**

<b>Algorithm</b>	<b>Shift BFGS N=10</b>			
<b>PROBLEM</b>	<b>NOI</b>	<b>NOF</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>
1	5	20	13.5448572	0.261E+02
2	1	12	36943.1000	0.228E+05
3	2	15	1451.64654	0.928E+03
4	2	15	135.480501	0.281E+03
5	3	16	3.65107386	0.876E+01
6	6	23	0.817799823E-03	0.678E-01
7	2	15	15.4996348	0.145E+02
8	1	12	53.8716349	0.307E+03
9	1	3	19.8146467	0.219E+02
10	1	12	-74.3037218	0.444E+02
11	3	16	384.639070	0.293E+03
12	4	19	192.459370	0.401E+03
13	2	15	0.490077684	0.934E+00
14	1	12	0.788519101E-03	0.299E-01
15	1	12	2.69650325	0.596E+00
16	1	12	32.8566120	0.865E+01
17	4	17	-0.382799017E-01	0.163E-01
18	1	12	0.124445052	0.304E+00
19	1	12	61.8160567	0.525E+01
20	1	12	0.892519217	0.121E+01
21	1	3	2.77353532	0.347E+00
22	1	12	16.4797549	0.107E+03
	45	297		

TIME= 0:00:00.02

**Table (4-8)**

<b>Algorithm</b>	<b>Shift BFGS N=100</b>			
<b>PROBLEM</b>	<b>NOI</b>	<b>NOF</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>
1	4	19	380.881506	0.245E+03
2	1	12	176353.100	0.228E+05
3	2	15	13874.1436	0.621E+03
4	2	15	2401.63238	0.280E+03
5	2	15	29.8378515	0.166E+02
6	5	20	0.284469196	0.135E+01
7	2	15	72.4430234	0.134E+02
8	2	15	2106.68093	0.613E+02
9	14	28	-98.8560279	0.282E-04
10	2	24	-12.0651404	0.209E+02
11	6	19	82.7339625	0.145E+03
12	4	19	2104.08891	0.369E+03
13	2	15	0.499920183	0.995E+00
14	1	12	0.123292512E-05	0.392E-03
15	1	12	2.70244809	0.650E-01
16	1	12	2404.77898	0.844E+02
17	3	16	0.260799692	0.789E+00
18	1	12	0.133219936	0.388E-01
19	1	12	71.2531594	0.339E+02
20	1	12	10.1072709	0.712E+01
21	1	3	2.77217424	0.394E-01
22	1	12	17.9775744	0.237E+02
	59	334		

TIME= 0:00:00.03

**Table (4-9)**

<b>Algorithm</b>	<b>Shift BFGS N=1000</b>			
<b>PROBLEM</b>	<b>NOI</b>	<b>NOF</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>
1	4	19	3872.53795	0.364E+03
2	1	12	1570453.10	0.228E+05
3	2	15	142969.809	0.615E+03
4	2	15	25062.4645	0.280E+03
5	2	15	198.422616	0.165E+02
6	7	24	0.327658716E-01	0.576E+00
7	2	15	656.436702	0.132E+02
8	1	12	811605.408	0.417E+03
9	1	3	600.149240	0.220E+00
10	1	12	-0.677458374	0.409E+02
11	6	19	336.226588	0.135E+03
12	4	19	21148.6523	0.363E+03
13	2	15	0.499999209	0.999E+00
14	1	12	0.129382924E-08	0.399E-05
15	1	12	2.70251875	0.656E-02
16	1	12	230919.325	0.842E+03
17	3	16	0.341274143	0.831E+00
18	1	12	0.133332170	0.399E-02
19	1	12	146.474353	0.334E+03
20	1	12	101.466723	0.680E+02
21	1	3	2.77215807	0.399E-02
22	1	12	17.9997664	0.258E+01