

Approximate Solution for Nonlinear System of Integro-Differential Equations of Volterra Type with Boundary Conditions

Ghada Shuker Jameel

Department of Mathematics / College of Education
University of Mosul, Mosul Iraq

Received
07 / 11 / 2013

Accepted
16 / 02 / 2014

الخلاصة

يتضمن البحث دراسة تقارب الحل لنظام من المعادلات التكاملية-التفاضلية اللاخطية من نوع فولتيرا ذات شروط حدودية، وذلك بالاعتماد على الطريقة التحليلية-العددية لدراسة الحلول الدورية للمعادلات التفاضلية الاعتيادية اللاخطية لـ Samoilenco .

ABSTRACT

In this study we investigate the approximation of the solution for nonlinear system of integro-differential equations of Volterra type with boundary conditions.

The numerical-analytic method of periodic solutions for ordinary differential equations of Samoilenco has been used of this work.

1. Introduction

The approximate periodic solutions for nonlinear systems of integro-differential equations have been used to study in many problems [1,2,3,4,5].

Ghada [2], used the method above to investigate the approximate periodic solution for nonlinear system of integro-differential equations of Volterra type which has the form:-

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = A(t)x(t) + \int_0^t K(t,s)F(s,x)ds + f(t)$$

Also these investigations lend us to the improving and extending some work of Ghada [2].

Consider the following system of nonlinear integro-differential equation:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = A(t)x(t) + \int_0^t K(t,s)F(s,x)ds + f(t) , \dots \dots (1.1)$$

with boundary conditions

$$Bx(0) + Cx(T) = d \dots \dots (1.2)$$

Here $x \in G \subseteq R^n$, G is a closed and bounded domain subset of Euclidean spaces R^n .

Let the vectors functions:

$$f(t) = (f_1(t), f_2(t), \dots, f_n(t))$$

$$F(t, s, x) = (F_1(t, s, x), F_2(t, s, x), \dots, F_n(t, s, x)),$$

where the functions $F(t, s, x)$ and $f(t)$ are continuous, bounded on the domain:

$$(t, s, x) \in [0, T] \times [0, T] \times G , \dots \dots (1.3)$$

where $B = (B_{ij})$, $C = (C_{ij})$ are constants positive matrices $(n \times n)$.

Suppose that the functions $F(t, s, x)$ and $f(t)$ satisfies the following inequalities:

$$\|F(t, s, x)\| \leq M , \quad \|f(t)\| \leq N \dots \dots (1.4)$$

$$\|F(t, s, x_1) - F(t, s, x_2)\| \leq L \|x_1 - x_2\| \dots \dots (1.5)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$ and $x, x_1, x_2 \in G$, where M, N and L are positive constants.

Let $A(t)$, $K(t, s)$ are $(n \times n)$ non-negative matrices which is defined and continuous on (1.3), periodic in t of period T , provided that:

$$\|K(t, s)\| \leq H \dots \dots (1.6)$$

$$\left\| e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \right\| \leq Q \dots \dots (1.7)$$

where $-\infty < 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T < \infty$ and Q, H are a positive constants.

We define the non-empty sets as follows:

$$G_f = G - \frac{T}{2} M_1 + \beta \quad \dots \dots \quad (1.8)$$

where $M_1 = Q[HMT + N]$, $\|\cdot\| = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |\cdot|$ and $\beta = \frac{t}{T} Q[(C^{-1}A + E)x_0 - C^{-1}dQ^{-1}]$.

Furthermore, we suppose that:

$$q = \left[(QHLT) \frac{T}{2} \right] < 1 \quad \dots \dots \quad (1.9)$$

By using lemma 3.1[5], we can state and prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1

Let $f(t)$ and $F(t, s, x)$ be continuous vector functions on the interval $[0, T]$ then the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] ds - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1}d e^{-\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \right] ds - \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt ds \right\| \leq \alpha(t) M_1 + \beta \end{aligned}$$

Satisfying for $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $\alpha(t) \leq \frac{T}{2}$ where $\alpha(t) = 2t(1 - \frac{t}{T})$,

$$M_1 = Q[HMT + N] \text{ and } \beta = \frac{t}{T} Q[(C^{-1}A + E)x_0 - C^{-1}dQ^{-1}].$$

proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] ds - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1}d e^{-\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \right] ds - \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt ds \right\| = \\ & = \left\| \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] ds - \frac{t}{T} e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1}d e^{-\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \right] - \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{t}{T} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right\| \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq \left\| \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta)d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] ds \right\| + \left\| \frac{t}{T} e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta)d\eta} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^\eta e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta)d\eta} d\eta \right] \right\| + \\
 & \quad + \left\| \frac{t}{T} \int_0^T e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta)d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] ds \right\| \leq \\
 & \leq \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)t [QHMT + QN] + \frac{t}{T}(T-t)[QHMT + QN] + \frac{t}{T}Q[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1}dQ^{-1}] \\
 & = 2t\left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)Q[HMT + N] + \frac{t}{T}Q[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1}dQ^{-1}] \\
 & = \alpha(t)M_1 + \beta
 \end{aligned}$$

2. Approximate Solution

The investigation of approximate solution of the problem (1.1) and (1.2) will be introduced by the following theorem:

Theorem 1

If the system (1.1) with boundary conditions (1.2) defined in the domain (1.3), continuous in t, x and satisfy the inequalities (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6), then the sequence of functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{m+1}(t, x_0) = & x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta)d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta)d\eta} \left(\left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_m(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] - \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{1}{T} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^\eta e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta)d\eta} d\eta + \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_m(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] \right) ds \\
 & \dots \dots \quad (2.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$x_0(t, x_0) = x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta)d\eta}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

periodic in t with period T , converges uniformly when $m \rightarrow \infty$ on the domain:

$$(t, x_0) \in [0, T] \times G_f \quad \dots \dots \quad (2.2)$$

to the limit function $x(t, x_0)$ which is satisfying the integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t, x_0) = & x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left(\left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] - \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{1}{T} \left[\left(c^{-1} A + E \right) x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] \right) ds \\
 & \dots \dots \quad (2.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

its unique solution to (1.1) and satisfies the inequalities:

$$\|x(t, x_0) - x_0\| \leq M_1 \frac{T}{2} + \beta \quad \dots \dots \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|x(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| \leq \Lambda^m \left(M_1 \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right) \quad \dots \dots \quad (2.5)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, $x_0 \in G_f$, $m=0, 1, 2, \dots$

Proof:

Setting $m=0$ and using lemma 1.1 and the sequence of the functions (2.1) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_1(t, x_0) - x_0\| &= \left\| x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left(\left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_0(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] - \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{T} \left[\left(c^{-1} A + E \right) x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_0(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] - x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \right) ds \right\| = \\
 &\leq (1 - \frac{t}{T}) t [QHMT + QN] + \frac{t}{T} (T - t) [QHMT + QN] + \frac{t}{T} Q [(c^{-1} A + E) x_0 - c^{-1} dQ^{-1}] \\
 &= 2t(1 - \frac{t}{T}) Q [HMT + N] + \frac{t}{T} Q [(c^{-1} A + E) x_0 - c^{-1} dQ^{-1}] \\
 &= \alpha(t) M_1 + \beta
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\|x_1(t, x_0) - x_0\| \leq \alpha(t) M_1 + \beta \leq M_1 \frac{T}{2} + \beta \quad \dots \dots \quad (2.6)$$

we get $x_1(t, x_0) \in G$, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x_0 \in G_f$.

By induction we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_m(t, x_0) - x_0\| &\leq \left\| \left(1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_{m-1}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] ds \right\| + \left\| \frac{t}{T} e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\left(c^{-1} A + E \right) x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_{m-1}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] ds \right] \right\| + \\
 &\quad + \left\| \frac{t}{T} \int_t^T e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_{m-1}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] ds \right\| \leq
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq 2t\left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)Q[HMT + N] + \frac{t}{T}Q[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1}dQ^{-1}] \\
 &= \alpha(t)M_1 + \beta \\
 \|x_m(t, x_0) - x_0\| &\leq \alpha(t)M_1 + \beta \leq M_1 \frac{T}{2} + \beta \quad \dots \dots \quad (2.7) \\
 \text{where } x_m(t, x_0) &\in G, \text{ for all } t \in [0, T], x_0 \in G_f.
 \end{aligned}$$

We prove now that the sequence (2.1) is uniformly convergent in (2.2). From (2.1), when $m=1$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_2(t, x_0) - x_1(t, x_0)\| &= \left\| x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left(\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_1(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right) - \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{T} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1}d e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_1(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] ds - \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left(\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_0(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right) + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{T} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1}d e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_0(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] ds \right\| \\
 &\leq \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^t Q[HLT(\alpha(t)M_1 + \beta)] ds + \frac{t}{T} \int_t^T Q[HLT(\alpha(t)M_1 + \beta)] ds \\
 &\leq \frac{T}{2}(QHLT)(\alpha(t)M_1 + \beta) \\
 &= \Lambda(\alpha(t)M_1 + \beta)
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\|x_2(t, x_0) - x_1(t, x_0)\| \leq \Lambda \left(M_1 \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right)$$

Now when $m=2$ we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_3(t, x_0) - x_2(t, x_0)\| &\leq \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^t Q \left[\int_0^s HL \|x_2(\tau, x_0) - x_1(\tau, x_0)\| d\tau \right] ds + \\
 &\quad + \frac{t}{T} \int_t^T Q \left[\int_0^s HL \|x_2(\tau, x_0) - x_1(\tau, x_0)\| d\tau \right] ds \\
 &\leq \frac{T}{2}(QHLT)\Lambda \left(M_1 \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\|x_3(t, x_0) - x_2(t, x_0)\| \leq \Lambda^2 \left(M_1 \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right).$$

By mathematical induction we have:

$$\|x_{m+1}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| \leq \Lambda^m \left(M_1 \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right) \dots \dots (2.8)$$

for $m=0,1,2,\dots$

By using the condition (1.9), we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda^m = 0 \dots \dots (2.9)$$

So that the rights hand from (2.8) equal zero when $m \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$, we get a positive integer n such that $n < m$, and satisfied the next estimation for all m :

$$\|x_{m+p}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } P \in N.$$

Then according to the definition of uniformly convergent, we find that the sequence $\{x_m(t, x_0)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is uniformly convergent from the function $x(t, x_0)$ and this function be continuous on the same interval.

Putting

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m(t, x_0) = x(t, x_0) \dots \dots (2.10)$$

Since the sequence of functions $x_m(t, x_0)$ is continuous on the domain (2.2) then the limiting function $x(t, x_0)$ is also continues on the same domain.

Also by using lemma1.1 and the relation (2.10), then the inequalities (2.4) and (2.5) are satisfies for all m .

Finally, we show that $x(t, x_0)$ is unique solution of the problem (1.1) and (1.2). On country we suppose that there is at least one different solution $\hat{x}(t, x_0)$ of the problem (1.1) and (1.2), then:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}(t, x_0) &= x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left(\left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{T} \left[(c^{-1} A + E)x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] ds \right) \end{aligned} \dots \dots (2.11)$$

Now we prove that $\hat{x}(t, x_0) = x(t, x_0)$ for $x_0 \in D_f$, by proving the following inequality:

$$\|\hat{x}(t, x_0) - x_m(t, x_0)\| \leq \Lambda^m \left(M_1^* \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right) \quad \dots \dots \quad (2.12)$$

where $M_1^* = Q[HRT + N]$, $R = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|F(s, t, \hat{x})\|$.

let $m=0$ in (2.1) and from (2.11) we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{x}(t, x_0) - x_0\| &= \left\| x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] - \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{T} \left[\left(c^{-1} A + E \right) x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] - x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \right] ds \right\| = \\ &\leq \left\| \left(1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] ds \right\| + \left\| \frac{t}{T} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\left(c^{-1} A + E \right) x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] ds \right\| + \\ &\quad + \left\| \frac{t}{T} \int_t^T e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] ds \right\| \leq \\ &\leq 2t \left(1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) Q[HRT + N] + \frac{t}{T} Q \left[\left(c^{-1} A + E \right) x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] \\ &= \alpha(t) M_1^* + \beta \\ \|\hat{x}(t, x_0) - x_0\| &\leq \alpha(t) M_1^* + \beta \leq M_1^* \frac{T}{2} + \beta \end{aligned}$$

and when $m=1$ in (2.1) and from (2.11) we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{x}(t, x_0) - x_1(t, x_0)\| &\leq \left\| \left(1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) (F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) - F(s, \tau, x_0(\tau, x_0))) d\tau \right] ds \right\| + \\ &\quad + \left\| \frac{t}{T} \int_t^T e^{\int_0^\eta A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) (F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) - F(s, \tau, x_0(\tau, x_0))) d\tau \right] ds \right\| \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \int_0^t Q[HLT(\alpha(t) M_1^* + \beta)] ds + \frac{t}{T} \int_t^T Q[HLT(\alpha(t) M_1^* + \beta)] ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{T}{2} (QHLT) (\alpha(t) M_1^* + \beta) \\
 &= \Lambda (\alpha(t) M_1^* + \beta) \\
 \|\hat{x}(t, x_0) - x_1(t, x_0)\| &\leq \Lambda \left(M_1^* \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and when $m=2$ in (2.1) and from (2.11) we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\hat{x}(t, x_0) - x_2(t, x_0)\| &= \left\| x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left(\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right) - \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{T} \left[(c^{-1} A + E)x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] ds - \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left(\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_1(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right) + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{T} \left[(c^{-1} A + E)x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_1(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] ds \right\| \\
 &\leq (1 - \frac{t}{T}) \int_0^t Q \left[HLT \Lambda \left(M_1^* \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right) \right] ds + \frac{t}{T} \int_t^T Q \left[HLT \Lambda \left(M_1^* \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right) \right] ds \\
 &\leq \frac{T}{2} (QHLT) \Lambda \left(M_1^* \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right) \\
 &= \Lambda^2 \left(M_1^* \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right) \\
 \|\hat{x}(t, x_0) - x_2(t, x_0)\| &\leq \Lambda^2 \left(M_1^* \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

we find that the inequality (2.12) is satisfying when $m=0,1,2$.

Suppose that the inequality (2.12) is satisfying when $m=p$ as the following inequality:

$$\|\hat{x}(t, x_0) - x_p(t, x_0)\| \leq \Lambda^p \left(M_1^* \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right) \dots \dots \quad (2.13)$$

Next we will proof the following inequality:

$$\|\hat{x}(t, x_0) - x_{p+1}(t, x_0)\| \leq \Lambda^{p+1} \left(M_1^* \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right) \dots \dots \quad (2.14)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{x}(t, x_0) - x_{p+1}(t, x_0)\| &= \left\| x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left(\left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] - \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{T} \left[\left(c^{-1} A + E \right) x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, \hat{x}(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] \right) ds - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - x_0 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left(\left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_p(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{T} \left[\left(c^{-1} A + E \right) x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_p(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] \right) ds \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{T}{2} (QHLT) \Lambda^p \left(M_1^* \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right) \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\|\hat{x}(t, x_0) - x_{p+1}(t, x_0)\| \leq \Lambda^{p+1} \left(M_1^* \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right)$$

Thus we find that the inequality (2.15) is satisfying when $m=0, 1, 2, \dots$.

From the conditions (1.9), (2.10) we get:

$$\hat{x}(t, x_0) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m(t, x_0) = x(t, x_0).$$

3. Existence of solution

The problem of existence solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2) is uniquely connected with the existence of zeros of the function $\Delta = \Delta(x_0)$ which has the form:

$$\Delta(x_0) = \frac{1}{T} e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\left(c^{-1} A + E \right) x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] \dots \dots \quad (3.1)$$

Since this functions are approximately determined from the sequence of functions:

$$\Delta_m(x_0) = \frac{1}{T} e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_m(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] \dots \dots (3.2)$$

for $m=0,1,2,\dots$

Theorem 2

Let all assumptions and conditions of theorem 1 be given, then the following inequality

$$\|\Delta(x_0) - \Delta_m(x_0)\| \leq \Lambda^{m+1} \left(M_1 + \frac{2}{T} \beta \right) \dots \dots (3.3)$$

satisfies for all $m \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in D_f$.

Proof:

By (3.1) and (3.2) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(x_0) - \Delta_m(x_0)\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{T} e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{T} e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1} \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x_m(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left\| e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s \left\| K(s, \tau) \right\| \left\| F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) - F(s, \tau, x_m(\tau, x_0)) \right\| d\tau \right] dt \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Q [HLS \|x(\tau, x_0) - x_m(\tau, x_0)\|] dt \end{aligned}$$

By (2.5) we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Q HLT \left[\Lambda^m \left(M_1 \frac{T}{2} + \beta \right) \right] dt \\ &= \Lambda^{m+1} \left(M_1 + \frac{2}{T} \beta \right) \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\|\Delta(x_0) - \Delta_m(x_0)\| \leq \Lambda^{m+1} \left(M_1 + \frac{2}{T} \beta \right)$$

for all $m=0,1,2,\dots$

Theorem 3

If the function $\Delta(x_0)$ is defined by:

$$\Delta : D_f \rightarrow R^n ,$$

$$\Delta(x_0) = \frac{1}{T} e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1} de^{-\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] \dots \dots (3.4)$$

where the function $x(t, x_0)$ is limit of function (2.1) then the inequalities:

$$\|\Delta(x_0)\| \leq M_1 + \frac{\beta}{T} \dots \dots (3.5)$$

$$\text{where } M_1 = Q[HMT + N], \beta = \frac{t}{T} Q[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1}dQ^{-1}] .$$

$$\|\Delta(x_0^1) - \Delta(x_0^2)\| \leq \left[(c^{-1}A + E) + \frac{2}{T} \Lambda A c^{-1} \right] \frac{1}{T} \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| Q \dots \dots (3.6)$$

for $x_0, x_0^1, x_0^2 \in D_f$.

Proof:

From the continuity of the function $\Delta(x_0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(x_0)\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{T} e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1} de^{-\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T} Q[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0 - c^{-1}dQ^{-1}] + \frac{1}{T} Q \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s HMD\tau + N \right] dt \\ &\leq \frac{\beta}{T} + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Q[HMT + N] dt \\ &= \frac{\beta}{T} + M_1 \\ \|\Delta(x_0)\| &\leq M_1 + \frac{\beta}{T}. \end{aligned}$$

Now from (3.4) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(x_0^1) - \Delta(x_0^2)\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{T} e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0^1 - c^{-1} de^{-\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^1)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{T} e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \left[(c^{-1}A + E)x_0^2 - c^{-1} de^{-\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^2)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{T} \left\| e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} \left(c^{-1} A + E \right) \left\| x_0^1 - x_0^2 \right\| + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left\| e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) \left\| F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^1)) - F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^2)) \right\| d\tau \right] dt \right\| \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{T} Q \left(c^{-1} A + E \right) \left\| x_0^1 - x_0^2 \right\| + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Q \left[\int_0^s H L \left\| x(\tau, x_0^1) - x(\tau, x_0^2) \right\| d\tau \right] dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{T} Q \left(c^{-1} A + E \right) \left\| x_0^1 - x_0^2 \right\| + \frac{2}{T} Q H L T \frac{T}{2} \left\| x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2) \right\| \\
&= \frac{1}{T} Q \left(c^{-1} A + E \right) \left\| x_0^1 - x_0^2 \right\| + \frac{2}{T} \Lambda \left\| x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2) \right\|
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\left\| \Delta(x_0^1) - \Delta(x_0^2) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{T} Q \left(c^{-1} A + E \right) \left\| x_0^1 - x_0^2 \right\| + \frac{2}{T} \Lambda \left\| x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2) \right\| \quad \dots \dots (3.7)$$

Since the functions $x(t, x_0^1)$, $x(t, x_0^2)$ are the solution of integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
x(t, x_0^\mu) &= x_0^\mu e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left(\left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^\mu)) d\tau + f(s) \right] - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{T} \left[\left(c^{-1} A + E \right) x_0^\mu - c^{-1} \int_0^{t-A(\eta)} e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^\mu)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] ds \right) \\
&\quad \dots \dots (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = 1, 2$.

Then by (3.8) and lemma 1.1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2) \right\| &= \left\| x_0^1 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left(\left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^1)) d\tau + f(s) \right] - \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{T} \left[\left(c^{-1} A + E \right) x_0^1 - c^{-1} \int_0^{t-A(\eta)} e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^1)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] ds - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - x_0^2 e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} \left(\left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^2)) d\tau + f(s) \right] + \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{T} \left[\left(c^{-1} A + E \right) x_0^2 - c^{-1} \int_0^{t-A(\eta)} e^{\int_0^s A(\eta) d\eta} + \int_0^T \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^2)) d\tau + f(s) \right] dt \right] ds \right) \right\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{A}{Tc} \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| e^{\int_0^t A(\eta) d\eta} + \left\| \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^t e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) (F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^1)) - F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^2))) d\tau \right] ds \right\| + \\
 &\quad + \left\| \frac{t}{T} \int_t^T e^{\int_0^\tau A(\eta) d\eta} \left[\int_0^s K(s, \tau) (F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^1)) - F(s, \tau, x(\tau, x_0^2))) d\tau \right] ds \right\| \\
 &\leq \frac{A}{Tc} \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| Q + \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^t Q \left[\int_0^s H L \|x(\tau, x_0^1) - x(\tau, x_0^2)\| d\tau \right] ds + \\
 &\quad + \frac{t}{T} \int_t^T Q \left[\int_0^s H L \|x(\tau, x_0^1) - x(\tau, x_0^2)\| d\tau \right] ds \\
 &\leq \frac{A}{Tc} \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| Q + \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right) t (Q H L T) \|x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2)\| + \\
 &\quad + \frac{t}{T} (T-t) (Q H L T) \|x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2)\| \\
 &\leq \frac{A}{Tc} \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| Q + \frac{T}{2} (Q H L T) \|x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2)\|
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2)\| &\leq \frac{A}{Tc} \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| Q + \Lambda \|x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2)\| \\
 \|x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2)\| - \Lambda \|x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2)\| &\leq \frac{A}{Tc} \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| Q \\
 (1 - \Lambda) \|x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2)\| &\leq \frac{A}{Tc} \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| Q \\
 \|x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2)\| &\leq \frac{A}{Tc} \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| Q \quad \dots \dots (3.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.9) in (3.7) we get (3.6):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\Delta(x_0^1) - \Delta(x_0^2)\| &\leq \frac{1}{T} Q (c^{-1} A + E) \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| + \frac{2}{T} \Lambda \|x(t, x_0^1) - x(t, x_0^2)\| \\
 \|\Delta(x_0^1) - \Delta(x_0^2)\| &\leq \frac{1}{T} Q (c^{-1} A + E) \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| + \frac{2}{T} \Lambda \frac{A}{Tc} \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| Q \\
 \|\Delta(x_0^1) - \Delta(x_0^2)\| &\leq \left[(c^{-1} A + E) + \frac{2}{T} \Lambda A c^{-1} \right] \frac{1}{T} \|x_0^1 - x_0^2\| Q
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1[4].

The theorem 3 ensures the stability solution of the system (1.1), when there is a slight change in the point x_0 accompanied with a noticeable change in the function $\Delta = \Delta(t, x_0)$.

REFERENCES

- 1) Butris, R. N. and Ghada Shuker Jameel “*Periodic Solutions for Nonlinear System of Integro-Differential Equations with Boundary Integral Condition*”, International Journal of Mathematical Archive-4(10), (2013), 1-14.
- 2) Ghada Shuker Jameel “*Periodic solutions for some nonlinear systems of integro-differential equations of Volterra type*”, M. Sc. Thesis, University of Mosul, (2005).
- 3) Jihed Ben Slimene and Joël Blot “*Reducibility of systems and existence of solutions for almost periodic differential equations*”, San Marcos, Texas State University, Electronic Journal of differential equations, Vol.2012(2012), No. 75, pp.1-17.
- 4) Martynuk, C. B. “*The investigation of solution for differential equations with boundary conditions*”, Ukrain, Kiev, Nauk, Nonlinear problems in the theory of differential equations, No.2, (1991).
- 5) Samoilenko, A. M. and Ronto, N. I. “*A numerical-analytic methods for investigations solution with boundary conditions*”, Ukrain, Kiev, (1985).